# *<b>Lithos*

December 2024

UD24-013

### Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Report



Project: 45 Grenoble Drive, TO Client: Davad Investments Inc.

Lithos Group Inc. 150 Bermondsey Road Toronto, ON M4A-1Y1 Tel: (416) 750-7769 Email: info@LithosGroup.ca

#### **PREPARED BY:**



Isaak Chlorotiris, P.E., M.A.Sc. Project Designer

**REVIEWED BY:** 



Sarra Karavasili, P.E., M.A.Sc. Project Manager

#### AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUE BY:

#### LITHOS GROUP INC.



Nick Moutzouris, P.Eng., M.A.Sc.

Principal

Identification	Date	Description of issued and/or revision	
FSR/SWM Report December 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2024		Issued for Zoning Application	

#### **Statement of Conditions**

This Report / Study (the "Work") has been prepared at the request of, and for the exclusive use of, the Owner / Client, the City of Toronto and its affiliates (the "Intended User"). No one other than the Intended User has the right to use and rely on the Work without first obtaining the written authorization of Lithos Group Inc. and its Owner. Lithos Group Inc. expressly excludes liability to any party except the intended User for any use of, and/or reliance upon, the work.

Neither possession of the Work, nor a copy of it, carries the right of publication. All copyright in the Work is reserved to Lithos Group Inc. The Work shall not be disclosed, produced or reproduced, quoted from, or referred to, in whole or in part, or published in any manner, without the express written consent of Lithos Group Inc. and the Owner.

#### **Executive Summary**

Lithos Group Inc. (Lithos) was retained by Davad Investments Inc. (the "Owner") to prepare a Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management (FSR-SWM) Report in support of Zoning By-Law Amendment (ZBA) Application for a proposed residential development comprised by an existing 28-storey residential building which will be maintained and a proposed 39-storey residential building at 45 Grenoble Drive, in the City of Toronto (the "City"). The following is a summary of our conclusions:

#### Storm Drainage

A detailed Stormwater Management Report (Phase II) will be prepared during the Site Plan Application stage. The stormwater discharge from the reconstructed portion of the residential development will be controlled to the 2-year pre-development flow and will be connected to the existing 450mm diameter storm sewer along Grenoble Drive, flowing south. In order to attain the target flows and meet the City's Wet Weather Flow Management Guidelines (WWFMG), quantity controls will be utilized and up to 216.29 m<sup>3</sup> of on-site storage will be required for the proposed residential development. In addition, the storm drainage pattern from the existing residential building will be maintained and will not negatively affect the existing storm network along Grenoble Drive (300mm diameter storm sewer). The stormwater management (SWM) system will be designed to provide enhanced level (Level 1) protection as specified by the Ministry of Environment, Conversation and Parks (MECP). During the Site Plan Application, a detailed analysis will be provided to assess the water quality on-site and determine additional measures in order to achieve a minimum Total Suspended Solids (TSS) removal of 80%.

#### Sanitary Sewers

The proposed development will be connected to the existing 250 mm diameter sanitary sewer on Grenoble Drive flowing South, through a 200 mm diameter sanitary sewer lateral connection, with a minimum grade of 2.00% (or equivalent pipe design). The additional net discharge flow from the entire property (proposed and existing development), is anticipated at approximately 8.29L/s.

According to the "Downstream Sanitary Capacity Analysis Report", prepared by Lithos Group Inc., dated December, 2024, the analysis of the external sanitary drainage area indicates that Criteria 1 and 2 (of Table 1: Capacity Criteria for Sanitary and Combined Sewers, City's Sanitary Sewer Capacity Assessment Guidelines) have been achieved and the proposed site does not affect flow conditions downstream, while the existing sanitary sewer infrastructure can support the proposed development.

#### Water Supply

The proposed building will exceed 84.0m in height, and according to the Ontario Building Code (OBC), an additional fire line will be required, to support the proposed development's sprinkler system. Therefore, two (2) separate fire connections will be provided for the proposed development.

The main fire and domestic water services will be connected to the existing 300 mm diameter watermain on the East side of Grenoble Drive. The additional fire line and the existing building (which will be maintained) will be connected to the existing 400 mm diameter watermain on the North side of Grenoble Drive.

It is anticipated that a total design flow of 106.68 L/s and 214.00 L/s will be required to support the proposed development and the existing building, respectively. The results of the hydrant flow tests, prepared by Lithos, dated June 7, 2024, reveal that the existing water infrastructure abutting the subject site will be able to support the proposed development.

#### Site Grading

The proposed grades will match the current drainage patterns and will improve the existing drainage conditions to meet the City's/Regional requirements. Grades will be maintained along the property lines wherever feasible and overland flow will be directed towards the adjacent right of ways (ROW).

#### **Table of Contents**

1.0	Introduction 1
2.0	Site Description 1
3.0	Site Proposal 2
4.0	Terms of Reference and Methodology24.1. Terms of Reference24.2. Methodology: Stormwater Drainage and Management24.3. Methodology: Sanitary Discharge2
	4.4. Methodology: Water Usage
5.0	Stormwater Management and Drainage35.1. Existing Conditions45.2. Stormwater Management45.3. Water Balance55.4. Quantity Controls55.5. Post-Development flows towards Grenoble Drive (conveyed by the 300mm diameter storm sewer into the 600mm diameter storm sewer)55.6. Post-development flows towards Grenoble Drive (conveyed by the 450mm diameter storm sewer)65.7. Quality Controls65.8. Proposed Storm Connection6
6.0	Sanitary Drainage System
7.0	Groundwater77.1. Long Term Dewatering87.2. Short Term Dewatering8
8.0	Sanitary Sewer Capacity Analysis88.1. Capacity Assessment Results9
9.0	Water Supply System109.1. Existing System109.2. Proposed Water Supply Requirements109.3. Proposed Watermain Connection12
10.0	Site Grading

#### 11.0 Conclusions and Recommendations ...... 13

#### List of Figures

Figure 1 - Location Plan Figure 2 - Aerial Plan

#### **List of Tables**

Table 4-1 – Sanitary Flows	3
Table 4-2 – Water Usage	3
Table 5-1 – Target Input Parameters	4
Table 5-2 – Target Peak Flows	4
Table 5-3 – Post-development Input Parameters	5
Table 5-4 – Post-development Quantity Control as per City Requirements	5
Table 5-5 – Post-development Quantity Control as per City Requirements(Proposed Residential	
Building)	6
Table 8-1 – New Developments	9
Table 9.1 – Fire Flow Input Parameters	. 11
Table 9.2 – Fire Flow Input Parameters	. 12

#### **Appendices**

Appendix A – Site Photographs
Appendix B – Background Information
Appendix C – Stormwater Analysis
Appendix D – Sanitary Data Analysis
Appendix E – Water Data Analysis
Appendix F – Sanitary Sewer Capacity Analysis

#### 1.0 Introduction

Lithos Group Inc. (Lithos) was retained by Davad Investments Inc. (the "Owner") to prepare a Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management (FSR-SWM) Report in support of Zoning By-Law Amendment (ZBA) Application for a proposed residential development comprised by an existing 28-storey residential building which will be maintained and a proposed 39-storey residential building at 45 Grenoble Drive (M3C 1C4), in the City of Toronto (the "City").

The purpose of this report is to provide site-specific information for the City's review with respect to the infrastructure required to support the proposed development. More specifically, the report will present details on sanitary discharge and water supply and an outline of the storm drainage pattern. We contacted the City's engineering department to obtain existing information in preparation of this report. The following documents were available for our review:

- Plan and profile drawings of Deauville Lane, from Grenoble Drive to Rochefort Drive, drawing No. D-186-01, dated October, 1959;
- Plan and profile drawings of Easement, from Grenoble Drive to St. Dennis Drive, drawing No. SA-58-R-01, dated January, 1967;
- Plan and profile drawings of Grenoble Drive, from Gateway Boulevard to Deauville Lane, drawing No. G-113-03, January, 1967;
- Plan and profile drawings of Gateway Boulevard, drawing No. ST-391-R, February, 1967;
- Toronto CU Maps of Grenoble Drive;
- Site Plan prepared by BDP Quadrangle, dated December 16, 2024;
- Site Statistics prepared by BDP Quadrangle, dated December 16, 2024;
- Survey Plan prepared by J. D. Barnes, dated March 20, 2023;
- Geotechnical engineering report by Grounded Engineering Inc., dated August 6, 2024;
- Hydrogeological review report by Grounded Engineering Inc., dated September 10, 2024; and,
- Utility locate services prepared by Onsite Locates Inc., dated March 20, 2023.

#### 2.0 Site Description

The existing site is approximately 8,945.2  $m^2$  (0.895 hectares). It is currently occupied by a 28-storey residential building and an underground parking area. The site is bound by Grenoble Drive to the north and east and landscape area to the south and west. Refer to Figures 1 and 2 following this report and site photographs in Appendix A.

The entire City was deemed as an area of basement flooding. As shown in the updated map, included in **Appendix B**, Environmental Assessment (EA) Studies are being performed across the City of Toronto, separated in areas. According to the "Current Basement Flooding Investigation Environmental Assessment Studies" for the City of Toronto found online, the site is located in area 55, where the EA Study has been completed.

#### 3.0 Site Proposal

The proposed development will be comprised by:

- A proposed 39-storey residential building; and,
- The existing 28-storey residential building which will be maintained.

The proposed building will consist of 405 residential units and will be facilitated by three (3) levels of underground parking. In addition, the proposed building will include approximately 28,493.5 m<sup>2</sup> of Gross Floor Area (GFA). Please refer to **Appendix B** for the proposed site plan and statistics.

#### 4.0 Terms of Reference and Methodology

#### 4.1. Terms of Reference

The Terms of Reference used for the scope of this report were based on the City's Sewer Capacity Assessment Guidelines, July 2021, the January 2021 Second Edition of the City of Toronto Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains and the November 2006 Wet Weather Flow Management Guidelines (WWFMG).

#### 4.2. Methodology: Stormwater Drainage and Management

This report provides an overview of the pre-development and post-development conditions and comments on opportunities to reduce peak flows. A detailed Stormwater Management (SWM) Report will be prepared at the Site Plan Application stage.

The proposed development will be designed to meet the City's WWFMG and the standards of the Province of Ontario as set out in the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) 2003 Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual (SWMPD). The following design criteria will be reviewed:

- Post-development peak flow for the 100-year storm event from the site will be controlled to the two (2)-year target flow;
- A specified rainfall depth of 5 mm is to be retained on-site, as required by the WWFMG; and,
- A safe overland flow will be provided for all flows in excess of the 100-year storm event.

#### 4.3. Methodology: Sanitary Discharge

The sanitary sewage discharge from the site will be determined using sanitary sewer design sheets that incorporate the land use and building statistics, as supplied by the design team. The calculated values provide peak sanitary discharge flow that considers infiltration.

The estimated sanitary discharge flows from the proposed site will be calculated based on the criteria shown in Table 4-1.

Usage	Design Flow	Units	Population Equivalent		
		Litres / capita / day	Studio/1 Bedroom Unit = 1.4 ppu		
Residential	240		2 Bedroom Unit = 2.1 ppu		
			3 Bedroom Unit = 3.1 ppu		

Table 4-1 – Sanitary Flows

Based on the calculated peak flows, the adequacy of the existing infrastructure to support the proposed development will be discussed.

#### 4.4. Methodology: Water Usage

The fire flow requirements were estimated using the method prescribed by the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS). This method is based on the fire protected building floors, the type and combustibility of the structural frame and the separation distances with adjoining building units. The domestic water usage was calculated based on the City's design criteria outlined in Table 4-2 below.

#### Table 4-2 – Water Usage

Usage Water Demand		Units
Residential	190	Litres / capita / day

Pressure and flow testing have been conducted on hydrants, in the vicinity of the proposed development to obtain existing flows, residual and static pressure on the existing infrastructure along Grenoble Drive.

#### 5.0 Stormwater Management and Drainage

The site is currently occupied by a 28-storey residential development and an underground parking area that will be maintained. A new, 39-storey, residential-use building will be constructed at the eastern portion of the site.

According to available records, there are three (3) existing storm sewers abutting the subject property. More specifically, there is:

- A 300 mm diameter storm sewer on Grenoble Drive, flowing west;
- A 600 mm diameter storm sewer along the Easement within Grenoble Public School, flowing south; and,
- A 450 mm diameter storm sewer on Grenoble Drive, flowing south.

Please note that storm runoff from the 300mm diameter storm sewer on Grenoble Drive is draining into the 600mm diameter storm sewer at the landscape area; therefore, they belong to the same storm sewer network.

#### 5.1. Existing Conditions

According to the Topographic survey prepared by JD. Barnes, dated March 20, 2023, and a site investigation by Lithos Group (please refer to the 'Site Investigation Report and Dye Test', dated April 9<sup>th</sup>, 2024 in **Appendix B**), it was discovered that, under pre-development conditions, storm runoff from the north and west portions of the property drains towards the storm sewer network, conveyed either directly or through the 300mm diameter sewer along Grenoble Drive, into the 600mm diameter sewer at the landscape area. The remaining portion of the site is draining east towards Grenoble Drive, captured by the existing catchbasins and conveyed by the 450mm diameter storm sewer flowing south.

Refer to **Pre-Development Drainage Area Plan (DAP-1)** in **Appendix C**. Furthermore, our investigation showed that there is no overland external storm flow towards our site under pre-development conditions.

The existing run-off coefficients are estimated based on the infiltration of the area as well as the City's WWFMG guidelines. **Table 5-1** below shows the input parameters which are illustrated on the **Pre-Development Drainage Area Plan (DAP-1)** in **Appendix C**.

Catchment	Drainage Area (ha)	Actual "C"	Design "C"	Tc (min.)
A1 Pre – towards Grenoble Drive (conveyed by the 300mm diameter storm sewer into the 600mm diameter storm sewer)	0.647	0.56	-	10
A2 Pre – towards Grenoble Drive (conveyed by the 450mm diameter storm sewer)	0.248	0.28	0.28	

#### Table 5-1 – Target Input Parameters

Peak flows calculated for the existing conditions are shown in **Table 5-2** below. Detailed calculations are in **Appendix C**.

#### Table 5-2 – Target Peak Flows

Catchment	Peak Flow Rational Method (L/s)			
	2-year	5-year	100-year	
A1 Pre – (conveyed by				
the 300mm diameter storm sewer into	88.1	131.7	250.1	
the 600mm diameter storm sewer)				
A2 Pre – towards Grenoble Drive				
(conveyed by the 450mm diameter	17.0	25.4	48.2	
storm sewer)				

Under post-development conditions, a portion of the site will be reconstructed in order to incorporate the new proposed residential building (Drainage Area A2 Post), while the rest of the property will be maintained as is (Drainage Area A1 Post). Consequently, as shown in Table 5-2, post-development flows for the reconstructed area (A2 Post) will need to be controlled to the target flow of 17.0 L/s.

#### 5.2. Stormwater Management

In order to meet the WWFMG criteria, the post-development flow will be controlled to the predevelopment two (2)-year target flow as established in Section 5.1. Any excess flow will be retained onsite and will ultimately outlet into the existing storm sewer infrastructure on Grenoble Drive.

UD24-013 (December 2024)

The post-development drainage areas and runoff coefficients are indicated on **Post-Development Drainage Area Plan (DAP-2)**, located in **Appendix C** and summarized in **Table 5-3** below.

Drainage Area	Drainage Area (ha)	"C"	Tc (min.)
A1 Post – towards Grenoble Drive (conveyed by the 300mm diameter storm sewer into the 600mm diameter storm sewer)	0.379	0.75	10
A2 Post (Controlled in underground tank - conveyed by the 450mm diameter storm sewer)	0.516	0.90	10

 Table 5-3 – Post-development Input Parameters

#### **5.3. Water Balance**

The City's WWFMG requires 5 mm of onsite runoff from any rainfall event to be retained over the entirety of the reconstructed drainage area (Drainage Area A2 Post). A 5 mm of rainfall equates to a required water balance volume of 25.79 m<sup>3</sup>. In order to achieve this, the following low impact development (LID) techniques may be implemented.

- Rainwater captured in the storage tank to be reused for irrigation purposes; and,
- Green Roof and Planters.

Detailed calculations will be provided during the detailed design stage of the Site Plan Application.

#### 5.4. Quantity Controls

As mentioned in Section 5.1, storm runoff from the existing property drains towards two (2) separate storm sewer systems, both of which are part of the same sewer network further downstream. Under post-development conditions, the site will consist of the existing building area that will be maintained (Drainage Area A1 Post), and the proposed reconstructed residential building area (Drainage Area A2 Post). A quantity control analysis has been prepared for each storm network adjacent to the site, to assess the pre to post development impacts on each storm sewer network.

## 5.5. Post-Development flows towards Grenoble Drive (conveyed by the 300mm diameter storm sewer into the 600mm diameter storm sewer)

Using the City's intensity-duration-frequency (IDF) data, modified rational method calculations were undertaken to determine the maximum storage required during each storm event. Results for the 2, 5 and 100-year storm events are provided in **Table 5-4** below. The detailed post-development quantity control calculations are provided in **Appendix C.** 

Drainage Area	Storm Event	Pre-Development Runoff (L/s)	Post-Development Runoff (L/s)		
A1 Post – towards Grenoble Drive (Existing Building Area to be maintained)	2-year	88.1	69.2		
	5-year	131.7	103.5		
	100-year	250.1	196.5		

#### Table 5-4 – Post-development Quantity Control as per City Requirements (Existing Building Area to be maintained)

As shown in **Table 5-4**, post-development flows will be greatly reduced compared to pre-development conditions, for each storm event.

## 5.6. Post-development flows towards Grenoble Drive (conveyed by the 450mm diameter storm sewer)

Using the City's intensity-duration-frequency (IDF) data, modified rational method calculations were undertaken to determine the maximum storage required during each storm event. Results for the 2, 5 and 100-year storm events are provided in **Table 5-5** below. The detailed post-development quantity control calculations are provided in **Appendix C.** 

## Table 5-5 – Post-development Quantity Control as per City Requirements(Proposed Residential Building)

Drainage Area	Storm Event	Target Flow (L/s)	Required Storage Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )
A2 Post	2-year		59.31
(Controlled in underground tank	5-year	17.0	98.12
diameter storm sewer)	100-year		216.29

As shown in **Table 5-5**, in order to control post-development flows to 2-year pre-development conditions, a target flow of 17.0 L/s is to be satisfied for the reconstructed area (**Drainage Area A2 Post**). The minimum required on-site storage capacity to achieve the above target flow is 216.29 m<sup>3</sup>, for the 100-year storm event. This can be achieved through the design and installation of stormwater holding tanks, flow control devices and/or roof storage, details of which will be provided through the detailed design stage of Site Plan Application.

#### 5.7. Quality Controls

Stormwater treatment must meet Enhanced Protection criteria as defined by the MECP 2003 SWMPD Manual, including the removal of at least 80% total suspended solids (TSS). Water quality calculations and quality measures in order to achieve an overall TSS removal of 80%, will be provided through the detailed design stage of the Site Plan Application.

#### **5.8. Proposed Storm Connection**

The storm sewer system for the reconstructed portion of the residential development will be designed to meet the City's requirements and will discharge into the existing 450 mm diameter storm sewer on Grenoble Drive via a 200 mm diameter storm lateral connection, with a minimum grade of 2.00% (or equivalent pipe design). Orifice controls, if required, will be designed to meet the allowable release rate to the municipal system. Details regarding the proposed Stormwater Management System will be provided during the detailed design stage of the Site Plan Application. Refer to the Engineering drawing "Preliminary Site Servicing Plan" SS-01 submitted separately, for the proposed storm connection.

#### 6.0 Sanitary Drainage System

#### 6.1. Existing Sanitary Drainage System

The existing site is currently occupied by one (1) residential building. According to available records, there are two (2) existing sanitary sewers, abutting the subject property. More specifically there are:

- A 450 mm diameter sanitary sewer on the west side of the subject property along the Easement within Grenoble Public School, flowing south towards Gateway Boulevard;
- A 250mm diameter sanitary sewer on Grenoble Drive on the east side of the subject property, flowing south.

According to the City's available information, the sanitary network abutting our property eventually discharges into the trunk sewer between Don Mills Road and Don Valley Parkway.

#### 6.2. Existing and Proposed Sanitary Flows

The sanitary flow generated by the proposed development at 45 Grenoble Drive was compared to the existing flow in order to quantify the net increase in the sanitary sewer.

Using the design criteria outlined in Section 4.3 and existing site information, the sanitary discharge flow from the existing residential building is estimated at 5.29 L/s. The existing sanitary service connection from the existing building is to the existing 450 mm diameter sanitary sewer, on the west side of the subject property along the Easement within Grenoble Public School, flowing south towards Gateway Boulevard.

In addition, using the design criteria outlined in **Section 4.3** and the proposed development statistics, the proposed development will discharge 8.29 L/s into the City's infrastructure. The proposed development will be connected to the existing 250mm diameter sanitary sewer on Grenoble Drive, flowing South. Detailed calculations can be found in **Appendix D**.

The capacity of the existing sanitary sewer network along Grenoble Drive to accommodate the postdevelopment sanitary flow will be discussed under **Section 8.0** of this report.

#### 6.3. Proposed Sanitary Connection

The proposed development will be connected to the existing 250mm diameter sanitary sewer on Grenoble Drive flowing South, through a 200 mm diameter sanitary lateral connection. The municipal service connection will use a recommended grade of 2.0%, according to the MECP guidelines for sewage works. Refer to the Engineering drawing "Preliminary Site Servicing Plan" SS-01 submitted separately, for the proposed storm connection.

#### 7.0 Groundwater

According to the "Hydrogeological Review Report" prepared by Grounded Engineering Inc., dated September 10<sup>th</sup>, 2024, the stabilized groundwater level is at an elevation of approximately 121.4 masl (meters above sea level). In addition, the lowest finished floor elevation of the proposed development will be at the elevation of 119.21 masl.

The results of groundwater sampling on site, reveal that groundwater exceeds the City's limits of total suspended solids and total manganese for discharging into the storm sewer network, however it is within the City's limits for discharging into the sanitary and combined sewer network. The results of the Hydrogeological review report can be found in **Appendix B**.

#### 7.1. Long Term Dewatering

The proposed development will be serviced by three (3) basement levels (lowest basement slab elevation at 119.21 masl). Therefore, it is anticipated that the proposed underground construction will be partially submerged under the existing groundwater table.

Consequently, in order to comply with the City's criteria, the proposed underground construction is proposed to be water-tight; thus, a foundation drain system will not be implemented for this development. To conclude, there will be no direct or indirect permanent groundwater discharge towards the City's infrastructure.

#### 7.2. Short Term Dewatering

Site dewatering during construction, under the worst case scenario, is anticipated at 152,000 L/day, which translates to approximately 1.76 L/s. Groundwater will be discharged into the existing 250mm diameter sanitary sewer along Grenoble Drive. Following the fact that the existing network along Grenoble Drive can accommodate the proposed total net flow of 8.29 L/s under post-development conditions, it is anticipated that it would be capable to accommodate the groundwater discharge during construction.

#### 8.0 Sanitary Sewer Capacity Analysis

The existing site is located in the City's Basement Flooding Area 55 and the Basement flooding model for this area has been provided for our review.

The Downstream Sanitary Capacity Analysis Report, prepared by Lithos Group Inc., dated December, 2024, has been provided in order to identify the impact of the proposed development on the existing sanitary network. Sanitary flow from the proposed development will be discharged into the City's sanitary network. A sanitary sewer analysis has been conducted using pre-development and post-development flows outlined in Section 6.0.

According to the Sewer Capacity Analysis, four (4) model scenarios were developed to access the sewer condition. Scenarios and findings are listed below:

- Scenario 1: Existing DWF Conditions (base model updated with all other development applications and existing site flow (not the proposed site flows) + reflective of current sewer system conditions);
- Scenario 2: Proposed DWF Conditions (240L/c/d) (base model updated with all other development applications and the proposed site flows considering 240L/c/d average wastewater flow generation + reflective of current sewer system conditions);
- Scenario 3: Existing WWF Conditions (May 12,2000 storm event) (base model updated with all other development applications and existing site flow (not the proposed site flows) + reflective of current sewer system conditions); and,

• Scenario 4: Proposed WWF Conditions (May 12,2000 storm event) (240 L/c/d) (base model updated with all other development applications and the proposed site flows considering 240L/c/d average wastewater flow generation + reflective of current sewer system conditions).

Nine (9) new development applications were found in the drainage area from the City's Development Applications online. Table 8-1 below, shows the new developments, which have been incorporated into our analysis to account for "proposed conditions".

No	Site Address	Residential Population	Non- Residential Area (ha)	Non - Residential Population	Total population	Groundwa ter Flow (L/s)
1.	7, 11 Rochefort Drive	2680	0.068	4	2684	-
2.	789, 793 Don Mills Road, & 10 Ferrand Drive	4470	3.59	1185	5655	-
3.	25 St Dennis Drive	1101	-	-	1101	-
4.	7 St Dennis Drive, 10 Grenoble Drive	5374	-	-	5374	-
5.	200 Gateway Boulevard	1746	-	-	1746	0.94
6.	1185 Eglinton Ave E, 2 Sonic Way	1192	-	-	1192	-
7.	805 Don Mills Road	1764	-	-	1764	-
8.	48 Grenoble Drive	1882	0.068	1	1883	-
9.	1 Deauville Lane	3066	-	-	3066	-

#### Table 8-1 – New Developments

#### 8.1. Capacity Assessment Results

The analysis conducted by Lithos Group Inc., dated December, 2024, shows that:

• Under Dry Weather Flow (DWF) Conditions, for both existing and proposed scenarios, the system operates under free flow conditions and no sewers are surcharging in the downstream network, from the site up to the 600 mm diameter sanitary trunk sewer between Don Mills Road and Don Valley Parkway (trunk connection, MH\_ID#: MH5512534175);

• Under Existing Wet Weather Flow (WWF) (May 12, 2000 storm event) Conditions, simulation results indicate that the downstream network is expected to experience minor surcharging with freeboard (freeboard>1.8m) at six (6) sewer segments and the minimum freeboard attained within the sewer segments is 2.27m, and;

• Under Proposed Wet Weather Flow (WWF) (May 12, 2000 storm event) Conditions, simulation results indicate that the downstream network is expected to experience minor surcharging with freeboard (freeboard>1.8m) at eight (8) sewer segments and the minimum freeboard attained within the sewer segments is 2.24m.

According to Table 1: Capacity Criteria for Sanitary and Combined Sewers, in Sewer Capacity Assessment Guidelines please see below the conclusions of our Analysis:

Criterion 1: Under Dry Weather Flow conditions, the system operates under free flow conditions and no surcharge (HGL is below the pipe obvert) occurs.

Criterion 2: Under proposed Wet Weather Flow conditions, which include I&I generated under the May 12, 2000 storm event, the HGL in the downstream sewers is at least 1.80 m below grade.

Due to the above, Criteria 1 and 2 (of Table 1: Capacity Criteria for Sanitary and Combined Sewers, City's Sanitary Sewer Capacity Assessment Guidelines) have been achieved; therefore, no mitigation measures are required from our property and there is adequate local system capacity.

The Downstream Sanitary Capacity Analysis demonstrates that the proposed residential development at 45 Grenoble Drive does not increase the risk of basement flooding and can be serviced by the existing sanitary network.

#### 9.0 Water Supply System

#### 9.1. Existing System

Based on plans provided by the City, the existing watermain system consists of the following waterlines:

- A 400 mm diameter watermain on the north side of Grenoble Drive; and,
- A 300 mm diameter watermain on the east side of Grenoble Drive.

The existing water service connection from the site is to the existing 400 mm diameter watermain on the west side of Grenoble Drive.

Two (2) fire hydrant flow tests were carried out by Lithos Group Inc., on June 7, 2024 along Grenoble Drive, to determine the flow and pressure in the existing 400 mm and 300mm diameter watermains.

The results of the test conducted on the existing 300mm diameter watermain along Grenoble Drive indicate that the existing static pressure is 620 KPa (90 psi) and 146.71 L/sec (2325 USPGM) of water is available with a residual pressure of 586 KPa (85 psi). Similarly, according to the test conducted on the existing 400mm diameter watermain along Grenoble Drive, the existing static pressure is 634 KPa (92 psi) and 137.23 L/sec (2174 USPGM) of water is available with a residual pressure of 586 KPa (85 psi). The full detailed reports are included in Appendix F.

#### 9.2. Proposed Water Supply Requirements

The estimated water consumption was calculated based on the occupancy rates shown on Table 4-2, based on the City's watermain design criteria, revised in January 2021.

#### **Proposed Residential Building**

It is anticipated that an average consumption of approximately 1.70L/s (146,880L/day), a maximum daily consumption of 2.54L/s (219,456L/day) and a peak hourly demand of 3.81L/s (13,716L/hr) will be required to service this development with domestic water. Detailed calculations are found in **Appendix E**.

The fire flow requirements were estimated using the method prescribed by the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS 2020) be undertaken to assess the minimum requirement for fire suppression.

The fire flow calculations are normally conducted for the largest storey, by area, and for the two immediately adjacent storey.

As a result of the above mentioned method, we have selected Levels 1, 2 and 3 to determine the fire flow demand. **Table 9.1** below illustrates the input parameters used for the FUS 2020 calculations. According to our calculations, a minimum fire suppression flow of approximately 104.13 L/s (1,651 USGPM) will be required. Refer to detailed calculations found in **Appendix E**.

					Separatio	n Distance	
Parameter	Frame used for Building	Combustibility of Contents	Presence of Sprinklers	North	West	South	East
Value according to FUS options	non- combustible construction	limited combustible occupancy	Yes	10.1m to 20m	20.1m to 30m	20.1m to 30m	> 30m
Surcharge/reduction from base flow	0.8	15%	30%	15%	10%	10%	0%

 Table 9.1 – Fire Flow Input Parameters

Based on the **Table 9.1** the maximum fire suppression flow is estimated at 104.13 L/s. The design flow requirement is either the maximum hourly demand or the sum of the fire flow requirements and the maximum daily demand.

In summary, the required design flow is the sum of 'the minimum fire suppression flow' and the 'maximum daily demand' (104.13 + 2.54 = 106.68 L/s, 1,691 USGPM).

#### Existing Building (to be maintained)

It is anticipated that an average consumption of approximately 1.00L/s (86,400L/day), a maximum daily consumption of 1.50L/s (129,600L/day) and a peak hourly demand of 2.26L/s (8,136L/hr) will be required to service this development with domestic water. Detailed calculations are found in **Appendix E**.

The fire flow requirements were estimated using the method prescribed by the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS 2020) be undertaken to assess the minimum requirement for fire suppression.

The fire flow calculations conducted for the two largest adjoining floor areas, plus 50% of all floors immediately above them up to maximum of eight. Table 9.2 illustrates the input parameters used for the FUS 2020 calculations. According to our calculations, a minimum fire suppression flow of approximately 212.50 L/s (3,369 USGPM) will be required. Refer to detailed calculations found in Appendix E.

					Separatio	n Distance	
Parameter	Frame used for Building	Combustibility of Contents	Presence of Sprinklers	North	West	South	East
Value according to FUS options	non- combustible construction	limited combustible occupancy	No	> 30m	> 30m	10.1m to 20m	20.1m to 30m
Surcharge/reduction from base flow	0.8	15%	0%	0%	0%	15%	10%

 Table 9.2 – Fire Flow Input Parameters

Based on the **Table 9.2** the maximum fire suppression flow is estimated at 212.50 L/s. The design flow requirement is either the maximum hourly demand or the sum of the fire flow requirements and the maximum daily demand.

In summary, the required design flow is the sum of 'the minimum fire suppression flow' and the 'maximum daily demand' (212.50 + 1.50 = 214.00 L/s, 3,392 USGPM). The results of the hydrant flow test carried out by Lithos Group Inc., on June 7, 2024 on the 300 mm diameter watermain on the east side of Grenoble Drive, indicate that 610.05 L/s (9,667 USGPM) of water is available with a pressure of 138KPa (20.0 psi) and on the 400 mm diameter watermain on the north side of Grenoble Drive, indicate that 483.13 L/s (7,656 USGPM) of water is available with a pressure of 138KPa (20.0 psi) revealing that the existing water infrastructure will support the proposed development. The hydrant flow tests can be found in **Appendix E.** 

#### 9.3. Proposed Watermain Connection

Two (2) supplemental fire lines will be provided for the proposed development, as the building's height is greater than 84m, according to the Ontario Building Code (OBC). The proposed development will be serviced by two (2) 200 mm diameter fire and one (1) 150 mm diameter domestic, water services. According to the City's standard drawing T-1104.02-3, the 200 mm diameter water service will split two (2) meters from the property line and valve and boxes will be installed on each service at the property line.

Water supply for the proposed development will be from the existing 400 mm watermain on the North side of Grenoble Drive and the existing 300 mm diameter watermain on the East side of Grenoble Drive. Refer to the Engineering drawing "Preliminary Site Servicing Plan" SS-01 submitted separately, for the proposed water connections.

#### 10.0 Site Grading

#### **10.1. Existing Grades**

The existing site is currently occupied by a 28-storey residential building and an underground parking area. It is currently draining overland or through its internal stormwater management sewer system towards the adjacent right of way ("ROW"). Under pre-development conditions, no external drainage enters the site.

#### **10.2.** Proposed Grades

The current drainage pattern will be maintained and the proposed grades will improve the existing drainage conditions to meet the City's requirements. Grades will be maintained along the property line wherever feasible and overland flow will be directed towards the adjacent right of ways (ROW).

#### **11.0 Conclusions and Recommendations**

Based on our investigations, we conclude the following:

#### Storm Drainage

A detailed Stormwater Management Report (Phase II) will be prepared during the Site Plan Application stage. The stormwater discharge from the reconstructed portion of the residential development will be controlled to the 2-year pre-development flow and will be connected to the existing 450mm diameter storm sewer along Grenoble Drive, flowing south. In order to attain the target flows and meet the City's Wet Weather Flow Management Guidelines (WWFMG), quantity controls will be utilized and up to 216.29 m<sup>3</sup> of on-site storage will be required for the proposed residential development. In addition, the storm drainage pattern from the existing residential building will be maintained and will not negatively affect the existing storm network along Grenoble Drive (300mm diameter storm sewer). The stormwater management (SWM) system will be designed to provide enhanced level (Level 1) protection as specified by the Ministry of Environment, Conversation and Parks (MECP). During the Site Plan Application, a detailed analysis will be provided to assess the water quality on-site and determine additional measures in order to achieve a minimum Total Suspended Solids (TSS) removal of 80%.

#### Sanitary Sewers

The proposed development will be connected to the existing 250 mm diameter sanitary sewer on Grenoble Drive flowing South, through a 200 mm diameter sanitary sewer lateral connection, with a minimum grade of 2.00% (or equivalent pipe design). The additional net discharge flow from the entire property (proposed and existing development), is anticipated at approximately 8.29L/s.

According to the "Downstream Sanitary Capacity Analysis Report", prepared by Lithos Group Inc., dated December, 2024, the analysis of the external sanitary drainage area indicates that Criteria 1 and 2 (of Table 1: Capacity Criteria for Sanitary and Combined Sewers, City's Sanitary Sewer Capacity Assessment Guidelines) have been achieved and the proposed site does not affect flow conditions downstream, while the existing sanitary sewer infrastructure can support the proposed development.

#### Water Supply

The proposed building will exceed 84.0m in height, and according to the Ontario Building Code (OBC), an additional fire line will be required, to support the proposed development's sprinkler system. Therefore, two (2) separate fire connections will be provided for the proposed development.

The main fire and domestic water services will be connected to the existing 300 mm diameter watermain on the East side of Grenoble Drive. The additional fire line and the existing building (which will be maintained) will be connected to the existing 400 mm diameter watermain on the North side of Grenoble Drive.

It is anticipated that a total design flow of 106.68 L/s and 214.00 L/s will be required to support the proposed development and the existing building, respectively. The results of the hydrant flow tests, prepared by Lithos, dated June 7, 2024, reveal that the existing water infrastructure abutting the subject site will be able to support the proposed development.





## **Appendix A**

**Site Photographs** 



North East Corner of Property along Grenoble Drive – Facing South West



North West Corner of Property along Grenoble Drive – Facing South East



South East Corner of the Property along Grenoble Drive – Facing North West



# **Background Information**





	MPH Level 39 Level 38 Level 37	sm 577.8 747.0	sf	Floors	Banang	- 2/1 (=)	. 100		(cm)	GFA Proposed	18	2B	20	I т.
- - - - - -	MPH Level 39 Level 38 Level 37	577.8			sm	of	sm	ef	(SIII)	(Res)	10	20	30	U
	Level 39 Level 38 Level 37	5/7.8	C 000		5111	3/	5111	51	577.0					
	Level 38 Level 37	/4/ 11	0,220				5/7.8	0,220	5/7.8	670.7	4	E		-
	Level 38 Level 37	747.0	8,041	<u> </u>			747.0	8,041	74.3	072.7	4	5	1	-
-	Level 37	747.0	8,041	1			747.0	8,041	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	-
		747.0	8,041	1			747.0	8,041	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	-
	Level 36	747.0	0,041	1			747.0	0,041	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	-
	Level 34	747.0	9.041	1			747.0	8.041	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	-
-	Level 34	747.0	8 041	1			747.0	8.041	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	-
	Level 32	747.0	8 041	1			747.0	8 041	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	-
-	Level 31	747.0	8 041	1			747.0	8 041	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
-	Level 30	747.0	8 041	1			747.0	8 041	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
-	Level 29	747.0	8 041	1			747.0	8 041	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
-	Level 28	747.0	8 041	1	761 7	8 199	1508.7	16 239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
-	Level 27	747.0	8 041	1	761.7	8 199	1508.7	16 239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	-
-	Level 26	747.0	8 041	1	761.7	8 199	1508.7	16 239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
-	Level 25	747.0	8 041	1	761.7	8 199	1508.7	16 239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
-	Level 24	747.0	8 041	. 1	761.7	8 199	1508.7	16 239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
-	Level 23	747.0	8 041	. 1	761.7	8 199	1508.7	16 239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
-	Level 22	747.0	8 041	1	761.7	8 199	1508.7	16 239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
	Level 21	747.0	8 041	1	761.7	8 199	1508.7	16 239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
H	Level 20	747.0	8 041	1	761.7	8 199	1508.7	16 239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
	Level 19	747.0	8.041	1	761.7	8 199	1508.7	16 239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
Ū	Level 18	747.0	8 041	1	761.7	8 199	1508.7	16 239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
۳.	Level 17	747.0	8.041	1	761.7	8,199	1508.7	16,239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
B	Level 16	747.0	8 041	1	761.7	8 199	1508.7	16 239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
<b>A</b>	Level 15	747.0	8.041	1	761.7	8 199	1508.7	16 239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
	Level 14	747.0	8 041	1	761.7	8 199	1508 7	16 239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
	Level 13	747.0	8.041	1	761.7	8,199	1508.7	16.239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
	Level 12	747.0	8.041	1	761.7	8 199	1508 7	16 239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
	Level 11	747.0	8.041	1	761.7	8,199	1508.7	16.239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
-	Level 10	747.0	8.041	1	761.7	8,199	1508.7	16.239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
	Level 9	747.0	8.041	1	761.7	8,199	1508.7	16,239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
	Level 8	747.0	8.041	1	761.7	8,199	1508.7	16,239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
	Level 7	747.0	8,041	1	761.7	8,199	1508.7	16,239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
	Level 6	747.0	8,041	1	761.7	8,199	1508.7	16,239	74.3	672.7	4	5	1	
	Level 5	747.0	8,041	1	761.7	8,199	1508.7	16,239	74.3	672.7				
	Level 4	1,099.4	11,834	1	761.7	8,199	1861.1	20,033	74.3	1,025.1	9	5	2	
	Level 3	1,099.4	11,834	1	761.7	8,199	1861.1	20,033	74.3	1,025.1	9	5	2	
	Level 2	1,099.4	11,834	1	761.7	8,199	1861.1	20,033	74.3	1,025.1	9	5	2	
	Mezzanine	924.9	9,956	1			924.9	9,956	130.9	794.0	5	3	1	
	Ground	1,176.9	12,668	1	679.6	7,315	1,856.5	19,983	97.2	1,079.7	6	1	1	
	Above Grade Totals	32,122.8	345,767	40	21,245.5	228,684	53,368.3	574,451	3,629.3	28,493.5	174	189	42	4
	P1	2,011.1	21,647	1	1,447.2	15,578	3,458.3	37,225	3,458.3					
≥ µ [	P2	2,273.7	24,474	1	1,878.5	20,220	4,152.2	44,694	4,152.2					
2 Z	P3	2,366.7	25,475	1			2,366.7	25,475	2,366.7					
BE GR	Below Grade Totals	6,651.5	71,596	3	3,325.7	35,798	9,977.2	107,394						
		Site Area	8945.2	sm	Interior Ame	nity Reduction	913.9	sm		1 Bedroom Total	174	43%		
	Existing Bu	ilding GBA ±	21,245.5	sm	Net Re	esidential Area	27,579.6	sm		2 Bedroom Total	189	47%		
ALS	Proposed E	Building GBA	32,122.8	sm	Existin	ng Building FSI	2.4	1		3 bedroom Total	42	10%		
E I	Existing+Pr	oposed GBA	53 368 3	sm	Pronose	d Building FSI	3.6			Proposed Unit Total	405			
Ϋ́	Existing (F1		00,000,0		Topose	Total FOI	6.0		<b>E</b>	sting Residential Units	217			
						Total FSI	0.0		EXI	sung residential Units	217			

Aggregate area of each floor measured from the exterior side of the exterior walls. Includes all shafts, stairs, open to below areas, loading areas, below grade areas and mechanical

\*As per By-law 569-2103, Gross Floor Area (GFA) is reduced by the area in the building used for: parking, loading and bicycle parking below-ground; required loading spaces at the ground level and required bicycle parking spaces at or above-ground; storage rooms, washrooms, electrical, utility, mechanical and ventilation rooms in the basement; shower and

change facilities required by this By-law for required bicycle parking spaces; amenity space required by this By-law; elevator shafts; garbage shafts; mechanical penthouse; and exit



1 Context Plan A100.S 1:2000

General Pro	oject Description	Proposed
Total Gross	Floor Area (sm)	28,493.52
Breakdown	of project components (sm):	
	Residential	28,493.52
	Retail	0.00
	Commercial	0.00
	Industrial	0.00
	Institutional/other	0.00
fotal numbe	er of residential units	405.00

Section 1: For Stand Alone Zoning Bylaw Amendment Applications and Site Plan Control Applica	tions		
Low Emissions Transportation	Required	Proposed	Proposed
Number of parking spaces	0	126	
Number of parking spaces with EVSE (residential)	126	126	100%
Number of parking spaces with EVSE (non-residential)	0	0	
Cycling Infrastructure	Required	Proposed	Proposed
Number of long-term bicycle parking spaces (all-uses)	365	365	100%
Number of long-term bicycle parking located on:			
a) first storey of building			
b) second storey of building		63	
c) first level below-ground		55	
d) second level below-ground		168	
e) other levels below-ground		79	
Number of short-term bicycle parking spaces	81	92	88%
Number of shower and change facilities (non-residential)			
Tree Canopy	Required	Proposed	Proposed

Tree Canopy	Required	Proposed	Propose
Total Soil Volume Required (40% of the site area + 66sm x 30m <sup>3</sup> )	1626	1813	112
Soil volume provided within the site area (m <sup>3</sup> )		1299	72
Soil volume provided within the public boulevard (m <sup>3</sup> )		514	28

		Required Inc Ar	loor Amenity rea	Required Out Ar	door Amenity ea	Required To Ar	otal Amenity ea	
	Total Units	2.0sm	n / unit	40.0	Dsm	4.0sm	n / unit	
EA		sm	sf	sm	sf	sm	sf	
AR	405	810.0	8,719	40.0	431	1,620.0	17,438	
NITY	Floor	Provided Indoor Amenity Area		Provided Outdoor Amenity Area		Provided Total Amenity Area		
ME		sm	sf	sm	sf	sm	sf	
٩	5	665.5	7,163	429.2	4,620	1094.7	11,783	
	Ground	248.4	2,674	276.9	2,981	525.3	5,654	
	Total	913.9	9,837	706.1	7,600	1,620.0	17,438	

\* Existing GFA is approximate

stairwells in the building.

penthouse.

GBA:

GFA:

\*The provided outdoor amenity area at Ground floor level does not include an additional 3083.7 sm of landscaped space.



\*\*\*Total parking numbers includes 13 accessible spaces.

Municipal Address: 45 Grenoble Drive	
Zoning Bylaw 569-2013	
	427.20
Established Grade	127.29
Building Height (Storeys): (excl. Mech Penthouse)	125.3
	(m)
Gross Site Area	8,945.2
	(sm)
GFA - Residential Uses	28,493.
GFA - Non-Residential Uses	0.0
	(sm)
Floor Space Index/FSI	5.97
Number of Residential Suites	405
Amenity Space Required	1,620.0
Amenity Space Provided	1,620.0
	(sm)
Vehicular Parking Total Provided	126
Bicycle Parking Total Required	446
Bicycle Parking Total Provided	457
Total Loading Spaces Required	1
Total Loading Spaces Provided	1*

Calculation		Proposed
efined in Green Roof Bylaw (sm)		33,082.40
		1,178.70
esidential Private Terraces (sm)		0
utdoor Amenity Space, if in Residential Building (sm)		40
enewable Energy Devices (sm)		0
Roof Area with floor plate less than 750sm		747.7
pace (sm)		391.00
	Required	Proposed
Roof Space (sm)	234.6	234.6
Roof Space (%)	60%	60%





#### Map Legend

- Basement Flooding Study Completed
- Basement Flooding Study in Progress (started before 2019)
- Basement Flooding Study in Progress (started in 2019)

For more information enter an address in the search bar and/or click on the shaded area in the map





			144 144
LIN THE BUST			
		I PERSONAL PROPERTY AND	
		AS support to hold	
	anner A	DEAUVILLE LAU	1. A.
		Com a	



## PART OF PLAN & PROFILE SA-58-R-01



PART OF PLAN & PROFILE G-113-03

## PART OF PLAN & PROFILE G-113-03




# Site Investigation & Dye Test Report

PUD24-013

45 Grenoble Drive, TO



# April 2024 UII Lithos Professional Engineering Services

Lithos Group Inc. 150 Bermondsey Road, Unit 200 Toronto, Ontario, M4A 1Y1 T: 416-750-7769 E: info@lithosgroup.ca www.LithosGroup.ca



Professional Engineers Ontario

## Site Investigation Report and Dye Test

General Information				
Date:         April 9, 2024         Report No.:         R24-04-09-01				
Project No.:	PUD24-013	Address:	45 Grenoble Dr.	
Owner:	Davad Investments Inc.	Region/Municipality:	City of Toronto	

Attendants				
Name Title Contact				
Lithos Inspector	Peter Varsos	Construction Inspector	437-215-1144	
Lithos Inspector	Pradeep Kumar Oleti	Construction Inspector	905-609-3435	
Lithos Inspector	Mauricio Baez	Project Inspector	437-603-7725	

Weather Condition						
	Sunny		Cold		Light Rain	Windy
	Partly Cloudy		Cool		Heavy Rain	Foggy
	Overcast		Warm		Light Snow	
Ter	nperature:+8 °C		Hot		Heavy Snow	

Existing Facilities at Project/Site

It is currently occupied by a 28- storey residential building, driveway, outdoor parking and landscaped areas.



#### Site Investigation Report and Dye Test

General Information					
Date:	April 9, 2024	Report No.:	R24-04-09-01		
Project No.:	PUD24-013	Address:	45 Grenoble Dr.		
Owner:	Davad Investments Inc.	Region/Municipality:	City of Toronto		

#### Summary of Findings

1. All the storm runoff from Areas A, B, C, D, E, F, and G at 45 Grenoble Drive, are collected by the existing 600mm diameter Concrete Storm Sewer along the easement within Grenoble Public School.

2. All the storm runoff from Area H flows overland and is collected by the existing 450mm diameter Concrete Storm Sewer along Grenoble Drive, Deauville Lane.

3. All the storm runoff from Area K flows overland and is collected by the existing 300mm Storm Sewer along Grenoble Drive.

4. All the sanitary flow from the existing building at 45 Grenoble Drive, is discharged into the existing 450mm diameter Sanitary Sewer along the easement within Grenoble Public School.



NOTE: MH1, MH2, MH3, MH4, and MH5 are outstanding the real scale of topographic survey

## Site Investigation Report and Dye Test

General Information				
Date:	April 9, 2024	Report No.:	R24-04-09-01	
Project No.:	PUD24-013	Address:	45 Grenoble Dr.	
Owner:	Davad Investments Inc.	Region/Municipality:	City of Toronto	

















## Site Investigation Report and Dye Test

General Information				
Date:	April 9, 2024	Report No.:	R24-04-09-01	
Project No.:	PUD24-013	Address:	45 Grenoble Dr.	
Owner:	Davad Investments Inc.	Region/Municipality:	City of Toronto	

Existing Infrastructure within the area of investigation

















## Site Investigation Report and Dye Test

General Information				
Date:	April 9, 2024	Report No.:	R24-04-09-01	
Project No.:	PUD24-013	Address:	45 Grenoble Dr.	
Owner:	Davad Investments Inc.	Region/Municipality:	City of Toronto	



















#### Site Investigation Report and Dye Test

General Information				
Date:	April 9, 2024	Report No.:	R24-04-09-01	
Project No.:	PUD24-013	Address:	45 Grenoble Dr.	
Owner:	Davad Investments Inc.	Region/Municipality:	City of Toronto	

#### Investigation Details

#### Area A

This area has been occupied by the existing building at 45 Grenoble Drive.

The existing building has a flat roof, and the storm runoff within this area is captured by the existing roof drains and is directed into the ground via the existing network of storm drains within the building.

In order to identify the storm drainage pattern within this area, a dye test was conducted on one of the existing roof drains (Dye Test #1).

The dye was discharged into one of the roof drains and was observed at MH4.

The result of the subject dye test confirms that the storm runoff within Area A of the existing building at 45 Grenoble Drive is discharged into the existing 600mm diameter Concrete Storm Sewer along easement within Grenoble Public School.





## Site Investigation Report and Dye Test

General Information				
Date:	April 9, 2024	Report No.:	R24-04-09-01	
Project No.:	PUD24-013	Address:	45 Grenoble Dr.	
Owner:	Davad Investments Inc.	Region/Municipality:	City of Toronto	

Investigation Details

#### Dye Test #2:

In order to identify the sanitary discharge pattern within Area A, a dye test was conducted on the existing sanitary network within the property at 45 Grenoble Drive.

The dye was discharged into one of the sanitary sinks and was observed at SAN MH 5.

The result of the subject dye test confirms that, the sanitary discharge from the existing building at 45 Grenoble Drive is conveyed into the existing 450mm diameter Concrete Sanitary Sewer along the easement within Grenoble Public School.









#### Site Investigation Report and Dye Test

General Information				
Date:	April 9, 2024	Report No.:	R24-04-09-01	
Project No.:	PUD24-013	Address:	45 Grenoble Dr.	
Owner:	Davad Investments Inc.	Region/Municipality:	City of Toronto	

Investigation Details

#### Area B

This area is the flat roof of the parking, and amenities building of 45 Grenoble Drive. Based on the storm drainage patter, Area B is divided into Area B1 & Area B2.

In order to identify the storm drainage pattern within Area B1, a dye test was conducted on one of the existing roof drains (Dye Test #3).

The dye was discharged into one of the roof drains and was observed at the downspout, discharging overland towards Area F.

The results of the subject dye test confirms that the storm runoff from the rooftop of the existing building at Area B1 flows overland towards Area F.













## Site Investigation Report and Dye Test

General Information				
Date:	April 9, 2024	Report No.:	R24-04-09-01	
Project No.:	PUD24-013	Address:	45 Grenoble Dr.	
Owner:	Davad Investments Inc.	Region/Municipality:	City of Toronto	

#### Investigation Details

#### Area B2

All the storm runoff from the rooftop of the existing building at Area B2 is collected by two existing roof drains, directed to the ground via downspouts, flows overland towards Area G.











## Site Investigation Report and Dye Test

General Information				
Date:	April 9, 2024	Report No.:	R24-04-09-01	
Project No.:	PUD24-013	Address:	45 Grenoble Dr.	
Owner:	Davad Investments Inc.	Region/Municipality:	City of Toronto	

#### Investigation Details

#### Area C

This area consists of the indoor pool within the amenities building of 45 Grenoble Drive. Water from the pool is discharged into the existing sanitary network within the property at 45 Grenoble Drive.

Refering to the results of Dye Test 2, it is confirmed that, all the sanitary discharge from the existing building at 45 Grenoble Drive is conveyed into the existing 450mm diameter Concrete Sanitary Sewer along the easement within Grenoble Public School.





#### Site Investigation Report and Dye Test

General Information					
Date:         April 9, 2024         Report No.:         R24-04-09-01					
Project No.:	PUD24-013	Address:	45 Grenoble Dr.		
Owner:	Davad Investments Inc.	Region/Municipality:	City of Toronto		

#### Investigation Details

#### Area D

This area is the entrance of the underground parking of the existing building at 45 Grenoble Drive.

All the storm runoff within this area is captured by the existing trench drain, which is connected to the existing network of storm drains within the building.

In order to identify the storm drainage pattern within this area, a dye test was conducted on the existing trench drain(**Dye Test #4**).

The dye was discharged into the trench drain and observed at MH4.

The result of the subject dye test confirms that, the storm runoff within Area D of the existing building at 45 Grenoble Drive is discharged into the existing 600mm diameter Concrete Storm Sewer along easement within Grenoble Public School.













#### Site Investigation Report and Dye Test

General Information					
Date:         April 9, 2024         Report No.:         R24-04-09-01					
Project No.:	PUD24-013	Address:	45 Grenoble Dr.		
Owner:	Davad Investments Inc.	Region/Municipality:	City of Toronto		

#### Investigation Details

#### Area E1

This area is the landscape area on top of the underground parking (two floors) at 45 Grenoble Drive.

Storm runoff within this area is captured by the area drains and subdrains, which are connected to the existing network of drain pipes within the building.

In order to identify the storm drainage pattern within this area, a dye test was conducted on one of the existing area drains (**Dye Test #5**).

The dye was discharged into the area drain and was observed at MH4.

The result of the subject dye test confirms that the storm runoff within Area E1 of the existing building at 45 Grenoble Drive is discharged into the existing 600mm diameter Concrete Storm Sewer along easement within Grenoble Public School.













#### Site Investigation Report and Dye Test

General Information					
Date:         April 9, 2024         Report No.:         R24-04-09-01					
Project No.:	PUD24-013	Address:	45 Grenoble Dr.		
Owner:	Davad Investments Inc.	Region/Municipality:	City of Toronto		

#### Investigation Details

#### Area E2

This area is the asphalt paved parking lot, which is the roof of the existing one storey underground parking at 45 Grenoble Drive.

Storm runoff within this area is captured by the existing area drains, which are connected to the existing network of storm drain pipes within the building, the excess runoff is directed towards the adjacent property, Grenoble Public School.













## Site Investigation Report and Dye Test

General Information					
Date:	April 9, 2024	Report No.:	R24-04-09-01		
Project No.:	PUD24-013	Address:	45 Grenoble Dr.		
Owner: Davad Investments Inc.		Region/Municipality:	City of Toronto		

Investigation Details

#### Area F

This area is the entrance for the indoor pool of the existing building at 45 Grenoble Drive. This area has an unpaved area with granular material and a paved area with unit pavers.

Storm runoff within the unpaved area is infiltrated into the ground, the excess runoff overflows to the paved area and is captured by the CB3, which is connected to the existing network of storm pipes within the building.







#### Site Investigation Report and Dye Test

General Information					
Date:	April 9, 2024	Report No.:	R24-04-09-01		
Project No.:	PUD24-013	Address:	45 Grenoble Dr.		
Owner:	Davad Investments Inc.	Region/Municipality:	City of Toronto		

#### Investigation Details

#### Area G

This area is the asphalt paved driveway to the outdoor parking.

Storm runoff within this area is captured by CB1 and CB2.

In order to identify the storm drainage pattern within Area G, a dye test was conducted on one of the CB's, CB1(Dye Test #6).

The dye was discharged into the existing CB1 and was observed at MH4.

The results of the subject dye test confirms that the storm runoff within Area G at 45 Grenoble Drive is discharged into the existing 600mm diameter Concrete Storm Sewer along easement within Grenoble Public School.















## Site Investigation Report and Dye Test

General Information					
Date:         April 9, 2024         Report No.:         R24-04-09-01					
Project No.:	PUD24-013	Address:	45 Grenoble Dr.		
Owner:	Davad Investments Inc.	Region/Municipality:	City of Toronto		

Investigation Details

#### Area H

This area consists of concrete paved walkways and an unpaved grass filled area along the East side of the property.

Storm runoff within this area flows overland towards Grenoble Drive, Deauville Lane, and is captured by existing catch basin CB5 along the street, which is connected to the existing 450mm diameter Concrete Storm Sewer.











## Site Investigation Report and Dye Test

General Information					
Date:         April 9, 2024         Report No.:         R24-04-09-01					
Project No.:	PUD24-013	Address:	45 Grenoble Dr.		
Owner: Davad Investments Inc.		Region/Municipality:	City of Toronto		

#### Investigation Details

#### Area K

This area consists of an asphalt paved driveway, concrete paved walkway and an unpaved grass filled area towards the North side of the property.

Storm runoff within this area flows overland towards the street, and is captured by existing catch basin, CB4 along Grenoble Drive, which is connected to the existing 300mm diameter Storm Sewer along Grenoble Drive.











# HYDROGEOLOGICAL REVIEW REPORT

45 Grenoble Drive Toronto, Ontario PREPARED FOR: Davool Investments Inc. 1131A Leslie St., Ste. 500 Toronto, ON M3C 3L8

**ATTENTION:** Benjamin Hung

Grounded Engineering Inc. File No. 24-076 Issued September , 2024 Any watercourses and drainage features within the study area

## 3 Geology and Physical Hydrogeology

The site stratigraphy, including soil materials, composition and texture are presented in detail on the borehole logs in Appendix A. A summary of stratigraphic units that were encountered at the site is outlined as follows:

Site Stratigraphy				
Stratum/Formation	Depth Range (mbgs)	Elevation Range (masl)	Hydraulic Conductivity (m/s)	Method of Determination
Fill	0 - 2.2	127.7 - 125.5	1.0 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	literature <sup>1</sup>
Uppers Sands	2.2-8.5	125.5 – 119.2	1.0 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	literature/grain size
Glacial Till	8.5 - 18.7	119.2 - 109.1	1.0 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>	slug test

Surface Water			
Surface Water Body	Distance from site (m)	Direction from site	Hydraulically Connected to Site (yes/no)
Don River	400	East	No

## 4 Groundwater Elevations

#### 4.1 Monitoring Well Information

Well ID	Well Diameter (mm)	Ground Surface (masl)	Top of Screen (masl)	Bottom of Screen (masl)	Screened Geological Unit
BH101-S	50	128.1	125.0	122	Sand
BH101-D	50	128.1	115.9	112.9	Silts and Clays
BH102-S	50	127.8	124.8	121.7	Sand
BH102-I	50	127.8	120.2	117.1	Sand / Silts and Clays
BH102-D	50	127.8	112.6	109.5	Silts and Clays



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Freeze and Cherry (1979)



Design Hydraulic Conductivity					
Stratum/Formation	K (m/s)				
Earth Fill	1.0 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>				
Upper Sands	1.0 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>				
Glacial Till	1.0 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>				

Stored Groundwater (pre-excavation/dewatering)							
Volume of Excavation (m <sup>3</sup> )	Volume of Excavation Below	Estimated Volume of Stored Groundwater		Estimated Volume of Available Groundwater			
	Water Table (m <sup>3</sup> ) –	m <sup>3</sup>	L	m <sup>3</sup>	L		
23,888	9,305	4,900	4,900,000	1,200	1,200,00		

The quantity estimates for both short- and long-term conditions are presented below and in the appendices.

Short Term (Construction) Steady State Groundwater Quantity						
Scenario	Estimated Groundwater Seepage		Design Rainfall Event (25mm)		Estimated Total Daily Water Takings	
	L/day	L/min	L/day	L/min	L/day	L/min
Soldier Pile & Lagging	95,000	66.0	57,000	39.6	152,000	105.6
Full Caisson Wall	5,000	3.5	57,000	39.6	62,000	43.1

Long Term (Permanent) Steady State Groundwater Quantity - Fully Watertight						
Estimated Grour	Estimated Groundwater Seepage Estimated Design		ited Stormwater – I Event (25mm)	Estimated To Tak	Estimated Total Daily Water Takings	
L/day	L/min	L/day	L/min	L/day	L/min	
0	0	0	0	0	0	

Regulatory Requirements	
Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR) Posting	Required
Short Term Permit to Take Water (PTTW)	Not Required
Long Term Permit to Take Water (PTTW)	Not Required
Short Term Discharge Agreement City of Toronto	Required
Long Term Discharge Agreement City of Toronto	Not Required



The lowest elevation of the proposed structure (taken as the base of subfloor drainage layer) at the site will be below the determined MAGWL. A fully waterproofed underground structure will be required at this site.

As on-site management of stormwater or groundwater (which includes creating a watertight basement structure) is technologically feasible, it may also be possible to obtain a Long Term Storm/Sanitary Discharge Exemption for the purpose of a **temporary, emergency foundation drainage** connection to the City's Sewers. Note however, that all conditions and requirements within Sections 4 and 5 of Toronto Water's Foundation Drainage Policy must be met for an exemption to be considered.

The City of Toronto will require Discharge Agreements in the short term, if any water is to be discharged to the storm or sanitary sewers.

Please note:

- The proposed pump schedule for short term construction dewatering has not been completed. As such, the actual peak short term discharge rate is not available at the time of writing this report. The pump schedule must be specified by either the dewatering contractor retained or the mechanical consultant.
- If an emergency repair connection is proposed, the pump schedule for this connection has not been completed. The actual emergency discharge rate is not available at the time writing of this report. The pump schedule must be specified by the mechanical consultant.
- On-site containment (infiltration gallery/dry well etc.) has not been considered as part of the proposed development at this time. If this option is considered, additional work will have to be conducted (i.e. infiltration testing).

## **11 Evaluation of Impact**

#### **11.1 Zone of Influence**

Localized dewatering of an aquifer produces a cone-shaped depression in the groundwater table that extends some distance away from the dewatering point. The lateral distance which the cone of depression extends (i.e., the distance to where drawdown is effectively zero) is known as the Zone of Influence (ZOI).

The ZOI was calculated using the Sichardt equation below.

#### $R_0 = 3000 (\Delta H) \sqrt{K}$

- ΔH = dewatering thickness (m)
   K = hydraulic conductivity (m/s)
- **R**<sub>0</sub> = radius of influence (m)

The ZOI with respect to groundwater seepage at the site is summarized as follows.



Zone of Influence (ZOI)		
	Short Term (Construction), m	Long Term (Permanent), m
Soldier Pile and Lagging Scenario	19	0
Cutoff Wall Scenario	0	0

#### 11.2 Land Stability

The impacts to land stability on adjacent structures due to the proposed short and long term dewatering at the site are summarized as follows:

Land Stability		
	Short Term (Construction)	Long Term (Permanent)
Dewatering Thickness (m)	2.1	0
Increase in Effective Stress (kPa)	21	0
Maximum Theoretical Settlement due to Dewatering (mm)	1	0
Public Realm Theoretical Settlement due to Dewatering (mm)	<1	0

On this basis, the impact of the proposed dewatering on the existing adjacent structures is considered by Grounded to be within acceptable limits.

#### 11.3 City's Sewage Works

Negative impacts to City's sewage works may occur in terms of the quantity or quality of the groundwater discharged. This report provided the estimated quantity of the water discharge. However, this report does not speak to the sewer capacities. The sewer capacity analysis is provided under a separate cover by the civil consultant.

The quality of the proposed groundwater discharge is provided in Section 7. As noted in that section, the groundwater sample exceeded the Limits for Storm Sewer Discharge and met the Limits for Sanitary and Combined Sewer Discharge.

As such, additional treatment will be required before the water can be discharged to the Storm Sewer to avoid impacts to the City's sewage works caused by groundwater quality. Additional treatment will not be required before the water can be discharged to the Sanitary and Combined Sewer.

Per the City of Toronto, Toronto Water Infrastructure Management's Foundation Drainage Policy (November 1, 2021), long term connection to and discharge of foundation drainage to the City's sanitary sewer system will not be permitted. A connection to the City's storm sewer system may be granted if all conditions of Section 4.2 and 4.3 of the policy are satisfied, including that the lowest elevation of any proposed structure is higher than the Maximum Anticipated Groundwater Level at the site. A temporary, emergency foundation drainage connection to the City's sewer systems may be granted if on-site management is being proposed.



#### **11.4 Natural Environment**

There are no natural waterbodies within the ZOI that will be affected by the proposed construction dewatering or permanent drainage. Any groundwater which will be taken from the site will be discharged (if required) into the City's sewer systems and not into any natural waterbody. As such, there will be no impact to the natural environment caused by the water takings at the site.

#### **11.5 Local Drinking Water Wells**

The site is located within the municipal boundaries of the City of Toronto. The site and surrounding area are provided with municipal piped water and sewer supply. There is no use of the groundwater for water supply in this area of Toronto. As such, there will be no impact to drinking water wells.

#### **11.6 Contamination Source**

The site and immediately surrounding area currently consist mostly of residential and commercial areas. These land uses are not anticipated to be a source of potential contamination and are not expected to provide an Area of Potential Environmental Concern for the site. As such, the pumping of groundwater at the site is not anticipated to facilitate the movement of potential contaminants onto the site. Evaluation of the environmental condition of the site has been completed under a separate cover.

## **12** Proposed Mitigation Measures and Monitoring Plan

As a result of dewatering and draining the soil, changes in groundwater level have the potential to cause settlement based on the change in the effective stresses within the ZOI. The extent of the negative impact identified in previous sections will be limited to the ZOI caused by the groundwater taking at the site.

If adjacent buildings or municipal infrastructure are within the ZOI and will undergo settlement that may be considered unacceptable as identified the Land Stability Section, consideration should be given to implement a monitoring and mitigation program during dewatering activities.

The temporary construction dewatering system must be properly installed and screened to ensure sediments and fines will not be removed, which is typically a primary cause of dewatering related settlement.



## **13** Limitations

Natural occurrences, the passage of time, local construction, and other human activity all have the potential to directly or indirectly alter the subsurface conditions at or near the project site. Contractual obligations related to groundwater or stormwater control must be considered with attention and care as they relate this potential site alteration.

The hydrogeological engineering advice provided in this report is based on the factual observations made from the site investigations as reported. It is intended for use by the owner and their retained design team. If there are changes to the features of the development or to the scope, the interpreted subsurface information, geotechnical engineering design parameters, advice, and discussion on construction considerations may not be relevant or complete for the project. Grounded should be retained to review the implications of such changes with respect to the contents of this report.

Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. Grounded accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report, including consequential financial effects on transactions or property values, or requirements for follow-up actions and costs.

The authorized users of this report are Davool Investments Inc. and their design team, for whom this report has been prepared. Grounded Engineering Inc. maintains the copyright and ownership of this document. Reproduction of this report in any format or medium requires explicit prior authorization from Grounded Engineering Inc. The City of Toronto may also make use of and rely upon this report, subject to the limitations as stated.

## 14 Closure

If there are any questions regarding the discussion and advice provided, please do not hesitate to contact our office. We trust that this report meets your requirements at present.

For and on behalf of our team,



Andrew Kernerman B.A.Sc., EIT. Project Coordinator



File No. 24-076



# GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT

45 Grenoble Drive Toronto, Ontario

#### PREPARED FOR:

Davool Investments Inc. 1131A Leslie St., Ste. 500 Toronto, ON M3C 3L8

ATTENTION: Benjamin Hung

Grounded Engineering Inc.File No.24-076IssuedAugust 6, 2024



## 1 Introduction

Davool Investments Inc.has retained Grounded Engineering Inc. to provide geotechnical engineering design advice, in accordance with the City of Toronto Terms of Reference for Geotechnical Study, for their proposed development at 45 Grenoble Drive, in Toronto, Ontario. The level of study presented in this report is consistent with the requirements for a Zoning Bylaw Amendment, Plan of Subdivision, Consent to Server, or Site Plan Control application. Deep drilling and pressuremeter testing is excluded from the current scope of work. Additional boreholes, insitu testing, and a detailed geotechnical engineering report will be required for detailed foundation design and building permit purposes.

There is an existing 28-storey building with two levels of underground parking across the site, and under the proposed basement footprint. The existing tower will remain.

The proposed project includes the construction of a new 39± storey infill tower, with a P3 underground parking structure beneath the new tower footprint. The proposed P3 FFE is set at 119.21 m. The existing underground structure will therefore be lowered from a P2 to a P3 in that location.

Grounded has been provided with the following reports and drawings to assist in our geotechnical scope of work:

- Site survey, prepared by JD Barnes (Mar 20, 2023).
- Architectural Drawings, "45 Grenoble Drive, Toronto, Ontario"; Project 23009, dated May 22, 2024 (Issued for rezoning application), prepared by BDP Quadrangle Limited.

Grounded's subsurface investigation of the site to date includes four (4) boreholes (Boreholes 101 to 104) with seven (7) monitoring wells, which were advanced from May 27<sup>th</sup> to 29<sup>th</sup>, 2024.

Based on the borehole findings, preliminary geotechnical engineering advice for the proposed development is provided for foundations, seismic site classification, earth pressure design, slab on grade design, and basement drainage. Construction considerations including excavation, groundwater control, and geostructural engineering design advice are also provided.

Grounded Engineering must conduct the on-site evaluation of founding subgrade as foundation and slab construction proceeds. This is a vital and essential part of the geotechnical engineering function and must not be grouped together with other "third-party inspection services". Grounded will not accept responsibility for foundation performance if Grounded is not retained to carry out all the foundation evaluations during construction.



## 6 Closure

If the design team has any questions regarding the discussion and advice provided, please do not hesitate to have them contact our office. We trust that this report meets your requirements at present.

For and on behalf of our team,



## **Davad Investments Inc.**

December 11, 2024

Attention: Chief Engineer and Executive Director, Engineering and Construction Services c/o Manager, Development Engineering

cc: General Manager, Toronto Water c/o Manager, Environmental Monitoring and Protection Unit 2126 Kipling Avenue Etobicoke, ON M9W 4K5

Dear Sir or Madam.

I David Walerstein ... confirm and undertake that I will construct and maintain all building(s) on the subject lands (45 Grenoble Drive, Toronto) in a manner which shall be completely water-tight below grade and resistant to hydrostatic pressure without any necessity for Private Water Drainage System (subsurface drainage system) consisting of but not limited to weeping tile(s), foundation drain(s), private water collection sump(s), private water pump or any combination thereof for the disposal of private water on the surface of the ground or to a private sewer connection directly or indirectly or drainage system for disposal directly or indirectly in a municipal sewer.

David Walerstein, President

Name (printed) and Title

ies. ca Email

Signature

I. David Waterstein , have the authority to bind the corporation.

1131A Leslie Street, Suite 500 \* Toronto, Ontario, Canada M3C 3L8 Tel: (416) 907-7420 \* Fax: (416) 907-7427 \* www.gatewayproperties.ca I have attached the following documents, confirming that I have ownership to bind the corporation:

Corporation Profile Report obtained within 30 days

AND

Parcel Register obtained within 30 days

1131A Leslie Street, Suite 500 \* Toronto, Ontario, Canada M3C 3L8 Tel: (416) 907-7420 \* Fax: (416) 907-7427 \* www.gatewayproperties.ca Ontario 😵

Ministry of Public and Business Service Delivery

## **Profile Report**

DAVAD INVESTMENTS INC. as of December 12, 2024

Act Type Name Ontario Corporation Number (OCN) Governing Jurisdiction Status Date of Incorporation Registered or Head Office Address Business Corporations Act Ontario Business Corporation DAVAD INVESTMENTS INC. 2225562 Canada - Ontario Active November 27, 2009 Attention/Care of BENJAMIN HUNG, 1131a Leslie Street, 500, Toronto, Ontario, M3C 3L8, Canada

Certified a true copy of the record of the Ministry of Public and Business Service Delivery.

V. auntanilla W

Director/Registrar

#### Active Director(s)

Minimum Number of Directors Maximum Number of Directors

Name Address for Service Resident Canadian Date Began 1 10

DAVID WALERSTEIN 1131a Leslie Street, 500, Toronto, Ontario, M3C 3L8, Canada Yes November 27, 2009

Certified a true copy of the record of the Ministry of Public and Business Service Delivery.

V. alumtarilla W

Director/Registrar

#### Active Officer(s)

Name Position Address for Service Date Began

Name Position Address for Service Date Began

Name Position Address for Service Date Began BERNICE WALERSTEIN Vice-President 1131a Leslie Street, 500, Toronto, Ontario, M3C 3L8, Canada November 27, 2009

DAVID WALERSTEIN President 1131a Leslie Street, 500, Toronto, Ontario, M3C 3L8, Canada November 27, 2009

DAVID WALERSTEIN Secretary 1131a Leslie Street, 500, Toronto, Ontario, M3C 3L8, Canada November 27, 2009

Certified a true copy of the record of the Ministry of Public and Business Service Delivery.

V. auntanilla W

Director/Registrar

#### **Corporate Name History**

Name Effective Date DAVAD INVESTMENTS INC. November 27, 2009

Certified a true copy of the record of the Ministry of Public and Business Service Delivery.

V. auntanilla W

Director/Registrar

#### **Active Business Names**

Name Business Identification Number (BIN) Registration Date Expiry Date

Name Business Identification Number (BIN) Registration Date Expiry Date BERNADA PROPERTIES 191295096 December 30, 2009 December 27, 2024

GATEWAY PROPERTIES 191295245 December 30, 2009 December 27, 2024

Certified a true copy of the record of the Ministry of Public and Business Service Delivery.

V. auntarilla W

Director/Registrar
#### Transaction Number: APP-A10651744795 Report Generated on December 12, 2024, 16:29

#### Expired or Cancelled Business Names

Name Business Identification Number (BIN) Status Registration Date Expired Date CARLTON PROPERTIES 241020338 Inactive - Expired October 22, 2014 October 21, 2019

Certified a true copy of the record of the Ministry of Public and Business Service Delivery.

V. auintarilla W

Director/Registrar

This report sets out the most recent information filed on or after June 27, 1992 in respect of corporations and April 1, 1994 in respect of Business Names Act and Limited Partnerships Act filings and recorded in the electronic records maintained by the Ministry as of the date and time the report is generated, unless the report is generated for a previous date. If this report is generated for a previous date, the report sets out the most recent information filed and recorded in the electronic records maintained by the Ministry up to the "as of" date indicated on the report. Additional historical information may exist in paper or microfiche format.

#### Transaction Number: APP-A10651744795 Report Generated on December 12, 2024, 16:29

#### **Document List**

Filing Name	Effective Date
BCA - Articles of Amendment	June 01, 2023
Annual Return - 2019 PAF: BENJAMIN HUNG - DIRECTOR	June 07, 2020
Annual Return - 2018 PAF: BENJAMIN HUNG - DIRECTOR	June 18, 2019
Annual Return - 2017 PAF: BENJAMIN HUNG - DIRECTOR	June 10, 2018
Annual Return - 2016 PAF: DAVID WALERSTEIN - DIRECTOR	June 11, 2017
Annual Return - 2015 PAF: DAVID WALERSTEIN - DIRECTOR	June 19, 2016
Annual Return - 2014 PAF: DAVID WALERSTEIN - DIRECTOR	June 13, 2015
CIA - Notice of Change PAF: DAVID WALERSTEIN - DIRECTOR	September 23, 2014
Annual Return - 2013 PAF: DAVID WALERSTEIN - DIRECTOR	June 14, 2014
Annual Return - 2012 PAF: DAVID WALERSTEIN - DIRECTOR	June 01, 2013
Annual Return - 2011 PAF: DAVID WALERSTEIN - DIRECTOR	June 02, 2012
Annual Return - 2010 PAF: DAVID WALERSTEIN - DIRECTOR	July 02, 2011
Annual Return - 2009 PAF: DAVID WALERSTEIN - DIRECTOR	June 19, 2010

Certified a true copy of the record of the Ministry of Public and Business Service Delivery.

V. Quintarilla W

Director/Registrar This report sets out the most recent information filed on or after June 27, 1992 in respect of corporations and April 1, 1994 in respect of Business Names Act and Limited Partnerships Act filings and recorded in the electronic records maintained by the Ministry as of the date and time the report is generated, unless the report is generated for a previous date. If this report is generated for a previous date, the report sets out the most recent information filed and recorded in the electronic records maintained by the Ministry up to the "as of" date indicated on the report. Additional historical information may exist in paper or microfiche format.

#### Transaction Number: APP-A10651744795 Report Generated on December 12, 2024, 16:29

CIA - Initial Return PAF: DAVID WALERSTEIN - DIRECTOR December 03, 2009

BCA - Articles of Incorporation

November 27, 2009

All "PAF" (person authorizing filing) information is displayed exactly as recorded in the Ontario Business Registry. Where PAF is not shown against a document, the information has not been recorded in the Ontario Business Registry.

Certified a true copy of the record of the Ministry of Public and Business Service Delivery.

V. aluntarilla W

Director/Registrar

This report sets out the most recent information filed on or after June 27, 1992 in respect of corporations and April 1, 1994 in respect of Business Names Act and Limited Partnerships Act filings and recorded in the electronic records maintained by the Ministry as of the date and time the report is generated, unless the report is generated for a previous date. If this report is generated for a previous date, the report sets out the most recent information filed and recorded in the electronic records maintained by the Ministry up to the "as of" date indicated on the report. Additional historical information may exist in paper or microfiche format.

Ministère des Services au public et aux entreprises



## Rapport de profil

DAVAD INVESTMENTS INC. en date du 12 décembre 2024

Loi Type Dénomination Numéro de société de l'Ontario Autorité législative responsable Statut Date de constitution Adresse légale ou du siège social Loi sur les sociétés par actions Société par actions de l'Ontario DAVAD INVESTMENTS INC. 2225562 Canada - Ontario Active 27 novembre 2009 À l'attention / aux soins de BENJAMIN HUNG, 1131a Leslie Street, 500, Toronto, Ontario, M3C 3L8, Canada

Copie certifiée conforme du dossier du ministère des Services au public et aux entreprises.

V. Quintarilla W.

#### Directeur ou registrateur

#### Administrateurs en fonction

Nombre minimal d'administrateurs Nombre maximal d'administrateurs

Dénomination Adresse aux fins de signification Résident canadien Date d'entrée en fonction 1 10

DAVID WALERSTEIN 1131a Leslie Street, 500, Toronto, Ontario, M3C 3L8, Canada Oui 27 novembre 2009

Copie certifiée conforme du dossier du ministère des Services au public et aux entreprises.

V. auntarilla W.

#### Directeur ou registrateur

#### **Dirigeants en fonction**

Dénomination Poste Adresse aux fins de signification Date d'entrée en fonction

Dénomination Poste Adresse aux fins de signification Date d'entrée en fonction

Dénomination Poste Adresse aux fins de signification Date d'entrée en fonction BERNICE WALERSTEIN Vice-président de la société 1131a Leslie Street, 500, Toronto, Ontario, M3C 3L8, Canada 27 novembre 2009

DAVID WALERSTEIN Président de la société 1131a Leslie Street, 500, Toronto, Ontario, M3C 3L8, Canada 27 novembre 2009

DAVID WALERSTEIN Secrétaire 1131a Leslie Street, 500, Toronto, Ontario, M3C 3L8, Canada 27 novembre 2009

Copie certifiée conforme du dossier du ministère des Services au public et aux entreprises.

V. auntarilla W.

#### Directeur ou registrateur

#### Historique des dénominations sociales

Nom Date d'entrée en vigueur DAVAD INVESTMENTS INC. 27 novembre 2009

Copie certifiée conforme du dossier du ministère des Services au public et aux entreprises.

V. auntarilla W.

#### Directeur ou registrateur

#### Noms commerciaux en vigueur

Dénomination Numéro d'identification d'entreprise (NIE) Date d'enregistrement Date d'expiration

Dénomination Numéro d'identification d'entreprise (NIE) Date d'enregistrement Date d'expiration BERNADA PROPERTIES 191295096 30 décembre 2009 27 décembre 2024

GATEWAY PROPERTIES 191295245 30 décembre 2009 27 décembre 2024

Copie certifiée conforme du dossier du ministère des Services au public et aux entreprises.

V. auintarilla W.

#### Directeur ou registrateur

#### Noms commerciaux expirés ou révoqués

Dénomination Numéro d'identification d'entreprise (NIE) Statut Date d'enregistrement Date d'expiration CARLTON PROPERTIES 241020338 Inactive - Expiré 22 octobre 2014 21 octobre 2019

Copie certifiée conforme du dossier du ministère des Services au public et aux entreprises.

V. anitarilla W.

#### Directeur ou registrateur

#### Liste de documents

Nom du dépôt	Date d'entrée en vigueur
BCA - Statuts de modification	01 juin 2023
Rapport annuel - 2019 PRE: BENJAMIN HUNG - DIRECTOR	07 juin 2020
Rapport annuel - 2018 PRE: BENJAMIN HUNG - DIRECTOR	18 juin 2019
Rapport annuel - 2017 PRE: BENJAMIN HUNG - DIRECTOR	10 juin 2018
Rapport annuel - 2016 PRE: DAVID WALERSTEIN - DIRECTOR	11 juin 2017
Rapport annuel - 2015 PRE: DAVID WALERSTEIN - DIRECTOR	19 juin 2016
Rapport annuel - 2014 PRE: DAVID WALERSTEIN - DIRECTOR	13 juin 2015
CIA - Avis de modification PRE: DAVID WALERSTEIN - DIRECTOR	23 septembre 2014
Rapport annuel - 2013 PRE: DAVID WALERSTEIN - DIRECTOR	14 juin 2014
Rapport annuel - 2012 PRE: DAVID WALERSTEIN - DIRECTOR	01 juin 2013
Rapport annuel - 2011 PRE: DAVID WALERSTEIN - DIRECTOR	02 juin 2012
Rapport annuel - 2010 PRE: DAVID WALERSTEIN - DIRECTOR	02 juillet 2011
Rapport annuel - 2009 PRE: DAVID WALERSTEIN - DIRECTOR	19 juin 2010

Copie certifiée conforme du dossier du ministère des Services au public et aux entreprises.

V. auintarilla W

#### Directeur ou registrateur

CIA - Rapport initial PRE: DAVID WALERSTEIN - DIRECTOR 03 décembre 2009

BCA - Statuts constitutifs

27 novembre 2009

Tous les renseignements de la « PRE » (personne autorisant le dépôt) sont affichés exactement tels qu'ils sont enregistrés dans le Registre des entreprises de l'Ontario. Lorsque la PRE ne figure pas sur un document, les renseignements n'ont pas été enregistrés dans le Registre des entreprises de l'Ontario.

Copie certifiée conforme du dossier du ministère des Services au public et aux entreprises.

V. anitarilla W.

#### Directeur ou registrateur



PARCEL REGISTER (ABBREVIATED) FOR PROPERTY IDENTIFIER

PAGE 1 OF 2 PREPARED FOR Bernie01 ON 2024/12/12 AT 16:30:16

REGISTRY OFFICE #66

LAND

10371-0018 (LT) \* CERTIFIED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAND TITLES ACT \* SUBJECT TO RESERVATIONS IN CROWN GRANT \*

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION:

PCL 16335B SEC EAST YORK; PT BLK L1 PL M834 NORTH YORK PT 1 R2931; TORONTO , CITY OF TORONTO

PROPERTY REMARKS:

ESTATE/QUALIFIER: FEE SIMPLE ABSOLUTE

RECENTLY: FIRST CONVERSION FROM BOOK

PIN CREATION DATE: 2000/05/23

OWNERS' NAMES 45 GRENOBLE LIMITED CAPACITY SHARE

REG. NUM.	DATE	INSTRUMENT TYPE	AMOUNT	PARTIES FROM	PARTIES TO	CERT/ CHKD
**EFFECTIV	2000/07/29	THE NOTATION OF THE	BLOCK IMPLEMENTATI	ON DATE" OF 2000/05/23 ON THIS PIN**		
**WAS REPLA	CED WITH THE	"PIN CREATION DATE"	OF 2000/05/23**			
** PRINTOU	I INCLUDES AL	L DOCUMENT TYPES AND	DELETED INSTRUMENT	S SINCE 2000/05/19 **		
A55551	1960/06/29	NOTICE		LEXHAR REALTY LIMITED	THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWNSHIP OF NORTH YORK	С
CC	RRECTIONS: '1	ARTY' CHANGED FROM	LEXHOR REALTY LIMIT	ed' to 'lexhar realty limited' on 2000/10/02 by kim rizzo.		
B60696	1961/02/28	NOTICE				С
RE	MARKS: RE: B	LAW 15711-PT LOT COI	TROL			
A73319	1961/06/05	NOTICE			SHELL OIL COMPANY OF CANADA LIMITED	С
A132876	1964/03/04	NOTICE			PURPLE INVESTMENTS LIMITED.	С
					YORK TERRACE LIMITED	
A186031	1966/02/04	TRANSFER	\$2		GRENOBLE APARTMENTS (TORONTO) LIMITED	С
R2931	1967/02/21	PLAN REFERENCE				С
A231001	1967/12/01	NOTICE AGREEMENT				С
A237709	1968/03/27	NOTICE OF LEASE		*** COMPLETELY DELETED ***		
					COINWASH (EASTERN) LIMITED	
					COINWASH (PRAIRIES) LIMITED	
#2	0.	ARTY: COB' DELETED (	IN 2002/09/05 BY ALM	A GILDEA - LRO #20. 'PARTY: COIN-A-MATICE OF ONTARIO' DELETED C	N 2002/09/05 BY ALMA GILDEA - LRO	
A240475	1968/05/06	CHARGE		VVV COMBFELETX DEFELED ***	GUARANTY TRUST COMPANY OF CANADA	
2010576	1069/00/00			*** COMDIETET V DETETED ***		
AZ495/0	1308/09/09 MARKS: A2377	19. A240475		COMEDETEDI DEDETED """		
RE	MARRS · A23//(	<i>2, A2404/3</i>				

NOTE: ADJOINING PROPERTIES SHOULD BE INVESTIGATED TO ASCERTAIN DESCRIPTIVE INCONSISTENCIES, IF ANY, WITH DESCRIPTION REPRESENTED FOR THIS PROPERTY. NOTE: ENSURE THAT YOUR PRINTOUT STATES THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES AND THAT YOU HAVE PICKED THEM ALL UP.



LAND

PARCEL REGISTER (ABBREVIATED) FOR PROPERTY IDENTIFIER

PAGE 2 OF 2 PREPARED FOR Bernie01 ON 2024/12/12 AT 16:30:16

OFFICE #66 10371-0018 (LT) \* CERTIFIED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAND TITLES ACT \* SUBJECT TO RESERVATIONS IN CROWN GRANT \*

REG. NUM.	DATE	INSTRUMENT TYPE	AMOUNT	PARTIES FROM	PARTIES TO	CERT/ CHKD
B266843	1970/07/20	BYLAW EX PART LOT				С
A337710 RE	1971/12/07 MARKS: A1328	APL (GENERAL) 76				С
C428250	1987/11/13	CHARGE		*** COMPLETELY DELETED ***	ISRAEL DISCOUNT BANK OF CANADA	
AT268837	2003/09/04	DISCH OF CHARGE		*** COMPLETELY DELETED *** HSBC BANK CANADA		
RE	MARKS: RE: C4	428250				
AT1734578	2008/03/18	APL CH NAME OWNER		GRENOBLE APARTMENTS (TORONTO) LIMITED	45 GRENOBLE LIMITED	С
AT1801341	2008/06/10	DISCH OF CHARGE		*** COMPLETELY DELETED *** CENTRAL GUARANTY TRUST COMPANY		
RE	MARKS: RE: AZ	240475				
AT3869644	2015/04/30	APL (GENERAL)		*** COMPLETELY DELETED *** 45 GRENORLE LIMITED		
RE	MARKS: DELETI	A237709				
AT3872109	2015/05/01	CHARGE	\$13,921,680	45 GRENOBLE LIMITED	SUN LIFE ASSURANCE COMPANY OF CANADA	C
AT3872110 <i>RE</i>	2015/05/01 MARKS: AT3872	NO ASSGN RENT GEN 2109.		45 GRENOBLE LIMITED	SUN LIFE ASSURANCE COMPANY OF CANADA	C

NOTE: ADJOINING PROPERTIES SHOULD BE INVESTIGATED TO ASCERTAIN DESCRIPTIVE INCONSISTENCIES, IF ANY, WITH DESCRIPTION REPRESENTED FOR THIS PROPERTY. NOTE: ENSURE THAT YOUR PRINTOUT STATES THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES AND THAT YOU HAVE PICKED THEM ALL UP.

## **Appendix C**

# **Storm Analysis**





OFF COEFFI					
REA	LAND USE	AREA (ha)	INITIAL COEFFICIENT	ACTUAL COEFFICIENT	DESIGN COEFFICIENT
RIVE (CONVEYED SEWER INTO THE 1 SEWER)	LANDSCAPE	0.343	0.25	0.56	-
	HARDSCAPE	0.304	0.90	0.50	
RIVE - CONVEYED	LANDSCAPE	0.237	0.25	0.00	0.00
ORM SEWER)	HARDSCAPE	0.011	0.90	0.28	0.20

PRE-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE AREA PLAN RESIDENTIAL USE DEVELOPMENT 45 GRENOBLE DRIVE TORONTO, ONTARIO				
DATE:DECEMBER 2024	PROJECT No: UD24-013			
SCALE: N.T.S.	FIGURE No: DAP1			

						Rational	Method	
					Pre-Dev	elopment	Flow Calcul	lation
					45 Grenoble Drive			
						<b>F</b> 11 - 11 - 11	D04 040	
Prepared By: Isaak Chlorotiris P.E. M.A.Sc						City of T	D24-013	
Reviewed by: Anastasia Tzakonoulou, P.E.g. M.A.Sc.						Date: Decer	nber 2024	
						2410. 20001		
Area Number	Area	Actual	Design					
	(ha)	Coefficient	Coefficient					
A1 Pre – towards Grenoble Drive (conveyed by the 300mm diameter storm sewer into the 600mm diameter storm sewer)	0.647	0.56	-					
A2 Pre – towards Grenoble Drive (conveyed by the 450mm diameter storm sewer)	0.248	0.28	0.28					
		Detional M	ath a d Calaulati					
A1 Pre – towards Grenoble D	rive (conveye	ed by the 300m	m diameter stor	m sewer into th	he 600mm diam	eter storm se	ewer)	
							,	
Event 2-year		IDF Data Set	City of Toronto	a =	21.80	C =	-0.780	
Area Number	A (ha)	C	AC	IC (min.)	l (mm/b)	(m <sup>3</sup> /s)	Q (1/s)	
A1 Pre – towards Grenoble Drive (conveyed by the	(iia)			()	(	( /0)	(13)	
300mm diameter storm sewer into the 600mm								
diameter storm sewer)	0.647	0.56	0.36	10	88.2	0.088	88.1	
Event 5-year		IDF Data Set	City of Toronto	a =	32.00	C =	-0.790	
Area Number	Α	С	AC	Tc	I	Q	Q	
A1 Protowards Grapable Drive (conveyed by the	(ha)			(min.)	(mm/h)	(m³/s)	(L/s)	
300mm diameter storm sewer into the 600mm								
diameter storm sewer)	0.647	0.56	0.36	10	131.8	0.132	131.7	
Event 100-year		IDE Data Set	City of Toronto	a –	59 70	c –	-0.800	
Area Number	Α	C	AC	a = Tc	I	Q (	-0.800 Q	
	(ha)			(min.)	(mm/h)	(m³/s)	(L/s)	
A1 Pre – towards Grenoble Drive (conveyed by the								
diameter storm sewer)	0.647	0.56	0.36	10	250.3	0.250	250.1	
				-				
40 Bro. 4		able Drive (eer						
A2 Pre – to	owards Gren	oble Drive (cor	iveyed by the 43	omm diameter	storm sewer)			
Event 2-year		IDF Data Set	City of Toronto	a =	21.80	C =	-0.780	
Area Number	A (ba)	С	AC	Tc (min)	l (mm/b)	Q (m <sup>3</sup> /c)	Q	
A2 Pre – towards Grenoble Drive (conveyed by the	(IIA)			(11111.)	(IIIII/II)	(1173)	(1/5)	
450mm diameter storm sewer)	0.248	0.28	0.07	10	88.2	0.017	17.0	
Event 5-vear		IDF Data Set	City of Toronto	- e	32 00	c -	-0 790	
Area Number	Α	C	AC	Tc	J2.00	Q 0-	Q	
	(ha)			(min.)	(mm/h)	(m³/s)	(L/s)	
A2 Pre – towards Grenoble Drive (conveyed by the 450mm diameter storm sewer)	0.248	0.28	0.07	10	131.8	0.025	25.4	
Event 100-year		IDF Data Set	City of Toronto	a =	59.70	c =	-0.800	
Area Number	Α	C	AC	Tc	I	Q	Q	
	(ha)			(min.)	(mm/h)	(m³/s)	(L/s)	
A2 Bro towarda Cranabla Driva (appyour dibutha	· · ·							
A2 Pre – towards Grenoble Drive (conveyed by the 450mm diameter storm sewer)	0.248	0.28	0.07	10	250.3	0.048	48.2	





RUN-OFF COEFFICIENTS					
DRAINAGE AREA	LAND USE	AREA (ha)	INITIAL COEFFICIENT	COMPOSITE COEFFICIENT	
OS GRENOBLE DRIVE (CONVEYED	LANDSCAPE	0.090	0.25	0.75	
AMETER STORM SEWER INTO THE	HARDSCAPE	0.289	0.90	0.75	
LLED IN UNDERGROUND TANK -	LANDSCAPE	0.000	0.25	0.00	
50MM DIAMETER STORM SEWER)	HARDSCAPE	0.516	0.90	0.90	

POST-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE AREA PLAN RESIDENTIAL USE DEVELOPMENT 45 GRENOBLE DRIVE TORONTO, ONTARIO					
DATE: DECEMBER 2024	PROJECT No: UD24-013				
SCALE: N.T.S.	FIGURE No: DAP2				



Modified Rational Method Two Year Storm

Site Flow and Storage Summary - towards Grenoble Drive (Existing Building Area to be maintained)

File No. UD24-013

Date: December 2024

Drainage Are (conveyed b the 600mm c	ea A1 Post to y the 300mm d diameter storm	wards Grenob liameter storm l sewer)	le Drive sewer into		
				<u> </u>	
	Area (A1) = "C" -	0.379	na	2-Year De	21.80
	AC1=	0.283		а- С=	-0.78
	To -	10.0	min		Δ(T) <sup>c</sup>
	Time Increment -	5.0	min	1=	7(1)
	/ax Release Rate -	69.2	1/s		
		00.2	2,3	l	
I	уре	Area (ha)	"C"		
Land	lscaped	0.090	0.25		
Hard	lscaped	0.289	0.90		
Tota	al Area	0.379	0.75		
	Release Ra	2-yr Pre ate towards Greno te towards Grenob	e-Development Site bble Drive (A1-pre)= ble Drive (A1-post)=	88.1 69.2	L/s L/s
(1)	(2)	(1	3)	(*	4)
Time	Rainfall	Sto	orm	Ru	noff
	Intensity	Ru (A1	noff post)	Vol (A1	ume post)
(min)	(mm/hr)	(m	<sup>3</sup> /s)	(n	1 <sup>3</sup> )
10.0	88.2	0.0	069	41	.54
15.0	64.3	0.0	050	45	.42
20.0	51.4 43.2	0.0	040 034	48	.38 82
30.0	37.4	0.0	029	52	.90
35.0	33.2	0.0	026	54	.72
40.0	29.9	0.0	023	56	.35
45.0	27.3	0.0	021	5/	.83
55.0	23.3	0.0	018	60	.44
60.0	21.8	0.0	017	61	.61
65.0	20.5	0.0	016	62	.71
70.0	19.3	0.0	015	63	.74
80.0	17.4	0.0	014	65	.64
85.0	16.6	0.0	013	66	.52
90.0	15.9	0.0	012	67	.36
95.0	15.2	0.0	012	68	.17
105.0	14.0	0.0	011	69	.94 .68
110.0	13.6	0.0	011	70	.40
115.0	13.1	0.0	010	71	.09
120.0	12.7	0.0	010	71	.76 41
130.0	11.9	0.0	009	73	.04
135.0	11.6	0.0	009	73	.65
140.0	11.3	0.0	009	74	.24
145.0 150.0	11.0 10.7	0.0	009	74	.81 37
155.0	10.4	0.0	008	75	.92
160.0	10.1	0.0	800	76	.45
165.0	9.9	0.0	800	76	.97
170.0	9.7	0.0	008 007	77	.48 97
180.0	9.3	0.0	007	78	.46
185.0	9.1	0.0	007	78	.93
190.0	8.9	0.0	007	79	.40
195.0	8.7	0.0	007	/9	.85
205.0	8.4	0.0	007	80	.73
210.0	8.2	0.0	006	81	.16
215.0	8.1	0.0	006	81	.58
220.0	7.9	0.0	006	82	.00
225.0	7.6	0.0	006	82	.40
235.0	7.5	0.0	006	83	.20
240.0	7.4	0.0	006	83	.58
245.0	7.3	0.0	006	83	.96
250.0 255.0	7.2	0.0	000	84	.34 71
260.0	6.9	0.0	005	85	.07
265.0	6.8	0.0	005	85	.43
270.0	6.7	0.0	005	85	.78



Modified Rational Method Five Year Storm Site Flow and Storage Summary - towards Grenoble Drive (Existing Building Area to be maintained)

File No. UD24-013

Date: December 2024

5-Year Design Storm a= 32.00

-0.79 A(T)<sup>c</sup>

C=

I =

Drainage Area A1 Post towa (conveyed by the 300mm dia the 600mm diameter storm s	ards Gren meter sto ewer)	oble Drive rm sewer	e into
Area (A1) = "C" =	0.379	ha	F

"C" = AC1=	0.75 0.283		
Tc =	10.0	min	
Time Increment =	5.0	min	-
Max. Release Rate =	103.5	L/s	
Туре	Area (ha)	"C"	
Landscaped	0.090	0.25	
Hardscaped	0.289	0.90	
Total Area	0.379	0.75	

5-yr Pre-Development Site Release Rate towards Grenoble Drive (A1-pre)= 131.7 L/s						
	Site Release Ra	<b>103.5</b> L/s				
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
Time	Rainfall	Storm	Runoff			
	Intensity	Runoff (A1 post)	Volume (A1 post)			
(min)	(mm/hr)	(m³/s)	(m <sup>3</sup> )			
10.0	131.8	0.103	62.08			
15.0	95.7	0.075	67.60			
20.0	76.2	0.060	71.81			
25.0	63.9	0.050	75.25			
30.0	55.3	0.043	78.19			
40.0	49.0	0.035	83.06			
45.0	40.2	0.033	85.14			
50.0	37.0	0.029	87.04			
55.0	34.3	0.027	88.80			
60.0	32.0	0.025	90.44			
65.0	30.0	0.024	91.97			
70.0	28.3	0.022	93.42			
75.0	26.8	0.021	94.78			
80.0	25.5	0.020	96.07			
85.0	24.3	0.019	97.30			
90.0	23.2	0.018	98.48			
95.0	22.3	0.017	99.60			
105.0	21.4	0.017	101.72			
110.0	19.8	0.016	102.72			
115.0	19.1	0.015	103.68			
120.0	18.5	0.015	104.61			
125.0	17.9	0.014	105.51			
130.0	17.4	0.014	106.38			
135.0	16.9	0.013	107.23			
140.0	16.4	0.013	108.05			
145.0	15.9	0.013	108.85			
150.0	15.5	0.012	109.63			
155.0	15.1	0.012	110.39			
165.0	14.7	0.012	111.13			
170.0	14.1	0.011	112.55			
175.0	13.7	0.011	113.24			
180.0	13.4	0.011	113.91			
185.0	13.1	0.010	114.57			
190.0	12.9	0.010	115.21			
195.0	12.6	0.010	115.84			
200.0	12.4	0.010	116.46			
205.0	12.1	0.010	117.06			
210.0	11.9	0.009	117.00			
220.0	11.5	0.009	118.81			
225.0	11.3	0.009	119.37			
230.0	11.1	0.009	119.93			
235.0	10.9	0.009	120.47			
240.0	10.7	0.008	121.00			
245.0	10.5	0.008	121.53			
250.0	10.4	0.008	122.04			
255.0	10.2	0.008	122.55			
260.0	10.0	0.008	123.05			
265.0	9.9	0.008	123.55			
270.0	9.8	0.008	124.03			



**Modified Rational Method** Hundred Year Storm Site Flow and Storage Summary - towards Grenoble Drive (Existing Building Area to be maintained)

File No. UD24-013

Date: December 2024

Drainage Area A1 Post towards Grenoble Drive (conveyed by the 300mm diameter storm sewer into the 600mm diameter storm sewer) Area (A1) =

Area (A1) =	0.379	ha		100-Year De	esign Storm
"C" =	0.75			a=	59.70
AC1=	0.283			C=	-0.80
Tc =	10.0	min		l =	A(T) <sup>c</sup>
Time Increment =	5.0	min			
Max. Release Rate =	196.5	L/s			
Туре	Area (ha)		"C"		
Landscaped	0.090		0.25		
Hardscaped	0.289		0.90		
Total Area	0.379		0.75		

	Release Ra	<b>250.1</b> L/s	
	Site Release Ra	<b>196.5</b> L/s	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Time	Rainfall	Storm	Runoff
	Intensity	Runoff (A1 post)	Volume (A1 post)
	<i>( n</i> )	(3(-)	(
(min)	(mm/hr)	(m /s)	(m)
10.0	250.5	0.197	127.87
20.0	143.8	0.113	135.44
25.0	120.3	0.094	141.63
30.0	103.9	0.082	146.88
35.0	91.9	0.072	151.48
40.0	82.6	0.065	155.58
45.0	75.1	0.059	159.29
50.0	69.1	0.054	162.68
55.0	64.0 50.7	0.050	165.82
60.0	59.7	0.047	108.73
70.0	52.8	0.044	174.01
75.0	49.9	0.039	176.43
80.0	47.4	0.037	178.72
85.0	45.2	0.035	180.90
90.0	43.2	0.034	182.98
95.0	41.3	0.032	184.97
100.0	39.7	0.031	186.88
105.0	38.2	0.030	188.71
110.0	36.8	0.029	190.47
120.0	34.3	0.027	193.82
125.0	33.2	0.026	195.40
130.0	32.2	0.025	196.94
135.0	31.2	0.024	198.44
140.0	30.3	0.024	199.88
145.0	29.5	0.023	201.29
150.0	28.7	0.023	202.66
155.0	27.9	0.022	203.99
160.0	27.2	0.021	205.29
170.0	25.9	0.020	207.80
175.0	25.4	0.020	209.01
180.0	24.8	0.019	210.19
185.0	24.3	0.019	211.34
190.0	23.7	0.019	212.47
195.0	23.3	0.018	213.58
200.0	22.8	0.018	214.66
205.0	22.3	0.018	215.73
215.0	21.5	0.017	217.79
220.0	21.1	0.017	218.80
225.0	20.7	0.016	219.78
230.0	20.4	0.016	220.75
235.0	20.0	0.016	221.70
240.0	19.7	0.015	222.64
245.0	19.4	0.015	223.56
250.0	19.1	0.015	224.46
200.0 260.0	18.5	0.015	∠∠⊃.3⊃ 226.23
265.0	18.2	0.014	227.09
270.0	17.9	0.014	227.94

	Li	thos	Modified Rat Site Flow and Storage	ional Method - Two N Summary - towards Greno Residential Building) City of Toronto File No. UD24-013 Date: December 2024	<b>fear Storm</b> ble Drive (Prop
		A2 Post - Controlled in une	derground tank - co	nveyed by the 450mm	
		diameter storm sewer			
		Area (A2) = "C" =	0.516 ha 0.90		
		AC2= Tc =	0.46 10.0 min		
		Time Increment =	<b>5.0</b> min		
		Allowable Release Rate =	17.0 L/s		
		Min.Storage =	<b>59.31</b> m <sup>3</sup>		
2-Year Des	sian Storm	-			
a=	21.80	_			
C=	-0.78	_			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Time	Rainfall	Storm	Runoff	Target Released	Total Require
	Intensity	Runoff (A2 Post)	Volume (A2 Post)	Volume (A2 Post)	Storage (A2 Post)
(min)	(mm/hr)	(m³/s)	(m³)	(m³)	(m³)
10.0 15.0	88.2 64.3	0.114 0.083	68.22 74.59	10.19 15.28	58.03 59.31
20.0	51.4 43.2	0.066	79.46 83.46	20.38 25.47	59.09 57.99
30.0	37.4	0.048	86.88	30.57	56.31
35.0 40.0	33.2 29.9	0.043 0.039	89.87 92.55	35.66 40.75	54.21 51.80
45.0	27.3	0.035	94.98	45.85	49.13
50.0	25.1	0.032	97.21 99.27	50.94	46.27
60.0	21.8	0.028	101.19	61.13	40.06
65.0	20.5	0.026	102.99	66.22	36.76
75.0	19.3	0.025	104.68	76.41	29.87
80.0	17.4	0.022	107.80	81.51	26.29
90.0	15.9	0.021	1109.25	91.70	18.93
95.0	15.2	0.020	111.95	96.79	15.16
100.0	14.6 14.1	0.019 0.018	113.22	101.88 106.98	11.34 7.47
110.0	13.6	0.018	115.62	112.07	3.55
120.0	13.1	0.017	117.86	122.26	0.00
125.0	12.3	0.016	118.92	127.36	0.00
135.0	11.9	0.015	120.95	137.54	0.00
140.0	11.3	0.015	121.92	142.64	0.00
145.0	10.7	0.014	123.79	152.83	0.00
155.0	10.4	0.013	124.68	157.92	0.00
165.0	9.9	0.013	125.56	168.11	0.00
170.0	9.7	0.012	127.24	173.20	0.00
180.0	9.5	0.012	128.85	183.39	0.00
185.0	9.1	0.012	129.63	188.49	0.00
195.0	8.7	0.011	131.14	198.67	0.00
200.0	8.5	0.011	131.87	203.77	0.00
205.0 210.0	8.4 8.2	0.011	132.59	208.86	0.00
215.0	8.1	0.010	133.99	219.05	0.00
220.0 225.0	7.9 7.8	0.010	134.67 135.34	224.15 229.24	0.00
230.0	7.6	0.010	135.99	234.33	0.00
235.0 240.0	7.5 7.4	0.010 0.010	136.64 137.27	239.43 244.52	0.00
245.0	7.3	0.009	137.90	249.62	0.00
250.0 255.0	7.2 7.1	0.009 0.009	138.51 139.11	254.71 259.80	0.00
260.0	6.9	0.009	139.71	264.90	0.00
265.0	6.8	0.009	140.30	269.99	0.00

U Lithos
----------

Modified Rational Method - Five Year Storm

Site Flow and Storage Summary - towards Grenoble Drive (Proposed Residential Building)

Residential Building City of Toronto File No. UD24-013

Date: December 2024

		A2 Post - Controlled in und diameter storm sewer	derground tank - con	veyed by the 450mm	
		Area (A2) = "C" =	0.516 ha 0.90		
		AC2=	0.46		
		Tc =	10.0 min		
		Time Increment =	<b>5.0</b> min		
		Allowable Release Rate =	17.0 L/s		
		Min.Storage =	<b>98.12</b> m <sup>3</sup>		
5-Year Des	ign Storm	-			
a=	32.00	-			
C=	-0.79	-			
1=	A(1)	-			
(1) Time	(2) Rainfall	(3) Storm	(4) Rupoff	(5) Target Peleased	(6) Total Pequired
	Naimali	3.0111	Kulloli	raiget Keleaseu	i viai nequireu
	Intensity	Runoff (A2 Post)	Volume (A2 Post)	Volume (A2 Post)	Storage (A2 Post)
(min)	(mm/hr)	(m³/s)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(m³)	(m³)
10.0	131.8	0.170	101.95	10.19	91.77
15.0 20.0	95.7 76.2	0.123	111.02 117 93	15.28	95.73 97.55
25.0	63.9	0.082	123.59	25.47	98.12
30.0	55.3	0.071	128.41	30.57	97.85
35.0	49.0	0.063	132.64	35.66	96.98
40.0	44.1	0.057	136.41	40.75	95.65
45.0	40.2	0.052	139.62	45.85	93.98
55.0	34.3	0.048	145.84	56.04	89.81
60.0	32.0	0.041	148.53	61.13	87.40
65.0	30.0	0.039	151.05	66.22	84.83
70.0	28.3	0.037	153.42	71.32	82.10
75.0	26.8	0.035	155.66	76.41	79.24
80.0	25.5	0.033	157.78	81.51	76.27
85.0	24.3	0.031	159.80	86.60	73.20
95.0	22.2	0.029	163.58	96 79	66 79
100.0	21.4	0.028	165.35	101.88	63.47
105.0	20.6	0.027	167.05	106.98	60.08
110.0	19.8	0.026	168.69	112.07	56.62
115.0	19.1	0.025	170.28	117.17	53.11
120.0	18.5	0.024	171.81	122.26	49.54
120.0	17.9	0.023	173.28	127.30	45.93 42.27
135.0	16.9	0.022	176.11	137.54	38.56
140.0	16.4	0.021	177.46	142.64	34.82
145.0	15.9	0.021	178.77	147.73	31.04
150.0	15.5	0.020	180.05	152.83	27.22
155.0	15.1	0.019	181.29	157.92	23.37
165.0	14.7	0.019	102.00	168 11	19.49
170.0	14.1	0.018	184.84	173.20	11.64
175.0	13.7	0.018	185.97	178.30	7.67
180.0	13.4	0.017	187.07	183.39	3.68
185.0	13.1	0.017	188.15	188.49	0.00
190.0	12.9	0.017	189.21	193.58	0.00
200.0	12.0 12.4	0.016	190.20	203 77	0.00
205.0	12.1	0.016	192.25	208.86	0.00
210.0	11.9	0.015	193.23	213.96	0.00
215.0	11.7	0.015	194.19	219.05	0.00
220.0	11.5	0.015	195.13	224.15	0.00
225.0	11.3	0.015	196.05	229.24	0.00
∠30.0 235.0	11.1	0.014	190.90	234.33	0.00
240.0	10.9	0.014	198.73	239.43	0.00
245.0	10.5	0.014	199.59	249.62	0.00
250.0	10.4	0.013	200.44	254.71	0.00
255.0	10.2	0.013	201.27	259.80	0.00
260.0	10.0	0.013	202.09	264.90	0.00
200.U 270.0	9.9	0.013	202.90	209.99	0.00
270.0	9.8	0.013	203.70	275.09	0.00

UII	Li	thos	Modified Ratio Site Flow and Storage	nal Method - Hundre Summary - towards Greno Residential Building) City of Toronto File No. UD24-013 Date: December 2024	d Year Storm ble Drive (Propose
		A2 Post - Controlled in und	lerground tank - co	nveyed by the 450mm	
		diameter storm sewer			
		Area (A2) =	0.516 ha		
		"C" = AC2=	0.90 0.46		
		Tc = Time Increment =	10.0 min 5.0 min		
		Allowable Release Rate =	17.0 L/s		
		Min.Storage =	216.29 m <sup>-</sup>		
100-Year De	esign Storm				
a=	59.70	-			
C=	-0.80				
1=	A(1) <sup>-</sup>	-			
(1) Time	(2) Rainfall	(3) Storm	(4) Runoff	(5) Target Released	(6) Total Required
		Runoff	Volume	Volume	Storage
	Intensity	(A2 Post)	(A2 Post)	(A2 Post)	(A2 Post)
(min)	(mm/hr)	(m³/s)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(m <sup>3</sup> )
10.0 15.0	250.3 181.0	0.323 0.233	193.65 210.01	10.19 15.28	183.46 194.72
20.0	143.8	0.185	222.44	20.38	202.07
30.0	120.3	0.135	232.60	30.57	210.67
35.0	91.9	0.118	248.79	35.66	213.13
40.0 45.0	82.6 75.1	0.106	261.61	40.75 45.85	214.77 215.76
50.0	69.1	0.089	267.18	50.94	216.24
55.0 60.0	64.0 59.7	0.083 0.077	272.32 277.11	56.04 61.13	216.29 215.97
65.0	56.0	0.072	281.58	66.22	215.35
70.0 75.0	52.8 49.9	0.068	285.78 289.75	71.32 76.41	214.46 213.34
80.0	47.4	0.061	293.52	81.51	212.01
85.0 90.0	45.2 43.2	0.058	297.10 300.51	86.60 91.70	210.50
95.0	41.3	0.053	303.78	96.79	206.99
100.0 105.0	39.7 38.2	0.051	306.91 309.92	101.88	205.03
110.0	36.8	0.047	312.82	112.07	200.75
115.0	35.5	0.046	315.61 318 31	117.17	198.45
125.0	33.2	0.043	320.92	127.36	193.56
130.0 135.0	32.2 31.2	0.041	323.45 325.90	132.45 137.54	191.00 188.35
140.0	30.3	0.039	328.28	142.64	185.64
145.0	29.5 28.7	0.038	330.59	147.73	182.86
155.0	27.9	0.037	335.03	157.92	177.11
160.0 165.0	27.2	0.035	337.16 339.24	163.01	174.15
170.0	25.9	0.034	341.27	173.20	168.07
175.0	25.4	0.033	343.26	178.30	164.96
185.0	24.8 24.3	0.032	345.20 347.10	183.39	158.61
190.0	23.7	0.031	348.95	193.58	155.37
200.0	∠3.3 22.8	0.030	352.55	203.77	148.78
205.0	22.3	0.029	354.29	208.86	145.43
210.0 215.0	≥1.9 21.5	0.028	357.69	213.96 219.05	138.64
220.0	21.1	0.027	359.33	224.15	135.19
225.0 230.0	20.7 20.4	0.027 0.026	360.95 362.54	229.24 234.33	131.71 128.21
235.0	20.0	0.026	364.11	239.43	124.68
240.0 245.0	19.7 19.4	0.025	365.64 367.15	244.52 249.62	121.12 117 54
250.0	19.1	0.025	368.64	254.71	113.93
255.0 260.0	18.8 18.5	0.024	370.10 371.54	259.80 264.90	110.30 106 64
265.0	18.2	0.023	372.96	269.99	102.97
270.0	17.9	0.023	374.36	275.09	99.27



# **Sanitary Data Analysis**

# [[] Lithos

## SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET

### 45 Grenoble Drive CITY OF TORONTO

CITY OF TORC						OF TORO	ΝΤΟ												
			RESIDEN	ITIAL						FLOW							SEWER	DESIGN	
LOCATION	SECTION		NUMBER O	F UNITS		SECTION	TOTAL	AVERAGE	HARMON	RES. PEAK	TOTAL	INFILT.	TOTAL	TOTAL	PIPE	PIPE		FULL FLOW	
	AREA	Studio	1 Bed Apts.	2 Bed Apts.	3 Bed Apts.	POP.	ACCUM.	RESIDENTIAL FLOW '@' 240	PEAKING	FLOW	ACCUM.	@ 0.26	SANITAR	DESIGN	LENGTH	DIA.	SLOPE	CAPACITY	% of DESIGN
					-		POP.	L/c/d	FACTOR		AREA	L/s/ha.	YFLOW	FLOW				n = 0.013	CAPACITY
	(ha.)	@ 1.4 ppu	@ 1.4 ppu	@ 2.1 ppu	@ 3.1 ppu	(persons)	(persons)	(L/s)	0	(L/s)	(ha.)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m)	(mm)	(%)	(L/sec)	(%)
column nunber	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Existing Condition																			
Residential-use Development	0.895	0	0	217	0	456	456	1.27	3.99	5.06	0.895	0.23	5.06	5.29					
Proposed Condition																			
Residential-use development	0.000	0	174	189	42	771	771	2.14	3.87	8.29	0.000	0.00	8.29	8.29		200	2.0%	46.38	18%
Existing Building (to be maintained)	0.895	0	0	217	0	456	456	1.27	3.99	5.06	0.895	0.23	5.06	5.29		200	2.0%	46.38	11%
										Total Flow				13.58					
Residential Flow Rate - 240 litres/ca	pita/day								Τα	otal Net Flow	v			8.29					
Infiltration - 0.26 L/ha																			
Foundation allowance - 5.0 L/ha																			
Peaking Factor  = 1 + [14 / (4 + P <sup>0.5</sup> )], P=Population in thousands																			
Site Area (ha):	0.895																		
		Prepared	by: Thana	asis Tsiar	nantas, I	P.E., M.A	A.Sc.						Projec	:t: 45 G	renobl	e Driv	'e		
<u>ι Litnos</u>		Reviewed	l by: Iraklis	s Nikoleto	s, P.Eng	g., Ph.D.	Project: UD24-013												
		Date: Dec	cember 20	24									City of	Toront	0			Sheet	1 OF 1

## **Appendix E**

**Water Data Analysis** 

					WATER DEMAND
					45 Grenoble Dr
- 10		nng			Project No: UD24-013
_ C			•		Date: December 2024
					Prepared by: Isaak Chlorotiris, P.E., M.A.Sc.
				Rev	viewed by: Anastasia Tzakopoulou, P.Eng., M.A.Sc.
	Fire Flow Ca	alculation			
1	F= 220 C (A) <sup>1/2</sup>				PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL BUILDING
	Where F= Fire flo	ow in Lpm			
	= (	0.8 non-combustit	le construct	tion	
	A = total f	loor area in sq.m. excl	uding baser	ments, includes ga	rage*
		2	Area Applied	1	
	Level 2=	1025.1 m <sup>2</sup>	100%		Note: The levels indicated, reference the floors
	Level 1=	1873.7 m <sup>2</sup>	25%		with the largest areas, which considers the total
	Level 3=	1025.1 m <sup>-</sup> 1750 sq m	25%		floor areas which span through the east and west to building state)
	_	1,700 34.111.			
	F =	7,362 L/min			
	F =	7,000 L/min	Round to r	nearest 1000 l/min	
2	Occupancy Redu	uction			
	15% redu	ction for limited comb	ustible occu	pancy	
	F =	5950 L/min			
3	Sprinkler Reduct	ion			
•	30% Red	uction for NFPA auton	natic sprinkl	er system	
	F =	4165 l/min			
4	Separation Char	ne			
4	15% Nort	<u>ye</u> h 10.1m to 20m			
	0% East	> 30m			
	10% Sout	th 20.1m to 30m			
	10% Wes 35% Tota	I Separation Charge	2083	l /min	
	0070 1014	i coparation charge,	2000	2,11111	
	-	0.040 L/min			
	F = .	6,248 L/min 104 13 L/s			
	F =	1651 US GPM			
	Domestic Fl	low Calculation	\$		
	Population High	Rise = 77	Persons	from Site Statistics	
	Average Day Den	nand = 190	) L/cap/day	nom one oransies	1 US Gallon=3.785 L
	Residential	Flow= 1.70	) L/s		
	Data 11/0	A	2	from Site Statiation	
	Retail/Commercial	Area= (	) m <sup>-</sup> } I /m2/dav	from Sile Statistics	1 US GPM=15 8521 /s
	Retail/Commercial	Flow= 0.00	) L/s		
	Total	Flow= 1.70			
		- 20.42	. 03 6711		
	Max. Daily Den	nand Peaking Factor =	= 1.5		40 U.O. ODM
or	Max. Daily Dema	ana = 2.54	⊦L/S	=	40 US GPM
	Max. Hourly Dem	and Peaking Factor =	2.25		
	Max. Hourly Dema	and = 3.81	L/s	=	60 US GPM
	Max Dailv Dem	nand = 2.54	L/s		
	Fire F	Flow = 104.13	L/s		
Rec	quired 'Design' F	low = 106.68	L/s		Note: Required 'Design' Flow is the maximum of either:
		1691	US GPM		1) Fire Flow + Maximum Daily Demand
					2) Maximum Hourly Demand

	<u>[[]</u> Li	tho	5	F Revie	WATE 45 Proje Date Prepared by: 1s wed by: Anasta	Grenoble oct No: UD2 act December saak Chlorotir asia Tzakopo	<b>WAND</b> Dr 24-013 2024 is, P.E., M.A.Sc. ulou, P.Eng., M.A.Sc	
	Pressure Loss Hazen-Williams Form V= kCR <sub>h</sub> <sup>0.63</sup> xS <sup>0.</sup> k= 0.85 C= 140	<b>es</b> Jula 54 - conversion factor (0.8	49 for SI units a	and 1.318 for US cus	stomary units)			
	S= h <sub>f</sub> /L Rh= D/4	- hydraulic radius (D/4	for full flow, $A/P_{1}$	,, <sub>w</sub> for partially flow)				
when:	<i>Fire Fight</i> Flow Requirements= Diameter= Area= L= V= S= R <sub>h</sub> = H <sub>f</sub> = = <i>Flow Test</i> (dated Static Pressure =	<i>ing and Domestic I</i> 106.68 l/s 200 mm 3.14E-02 22.4 m 3.40 m/s 6.37E-02 0.04 1.43 m 2.03 psi <i>d: June 7, 2024</i> ) 90 psi	Head Loss Flow =	0 gpm =	0	L/s		
	Residual Pressure = <b>Pressure</b> (psi) 90 85	85 psi Flow (L/s) 0.0 146.71	Flow = Based on t 106.68 L/s guidelines	2325.02 gpm = he Pressure/Flow re can be provided at r	146.71 lationship, we l minimum press	L/s have to confir ure (20.3 psi	m that the flow requin + Losses) as set out	ement of by the FUS
Since	86.4 the flow of 106.68 L/s	106.68 required for the prop	Fire Flow is	s above minimum of nent is provided in t	the existing w	22.33 atermain at a	psi (20.3+Hf) 36.4 psi (which is m	ore than the
ninim	um of 22.33 psi), we a	Inticipate that the exist Flow availa	sting watermain Ible at 20psi =	n infrastructure car 9668 g	n support the   pm =	proposed de 610.0	velopment. 5 L/s	

 $Q_{avail}$  @ 20psi =  $Q_T ((P_S - P_A)/(P_S - P_R))^{0.54}$ = 2325.02 x ( (90-20) / (90-85) )<sup>0.54</sup> = 9668 gpm

					WATE	R DE	MAND	
					45	Grenoble	Dr	
		ithng			Proje	ct No: UD	24-013	
			•		Date	: December	2024	
				Pi	epared by: Isa	aak Chlorotir	is, P.E., M.A.Sc.	
				Review	ed by: Anasta	sia Tzakopo	ulou, P.Eng., M.A.Sc.	
	Pressure Loss	es						
	Hazen-Williams Form	nula						
	V= kCR <sub>h</sub> <sup>0.63</sup> xS <sup>0</sup>	.54						
	k= 0.85	- conversion factor (0.849	for SI units and 1.	318 for US cust	omary units)			
	C= 140	<ul> <li>roughness coefficient (P\</li> </ul>	/C : 140-150)					
	S= h <sub>f</sub> /L							
	Rh= D/4	- hydraulic radius (D/4 for f	ull flow, A/P <sub>w</sub> for	partially flow)				
	Fire Fiaht	ting and Domestic Hea	ad Loss					
	Flow Requirements=	106.68 l/s						
	Diameter=	200 mm						
	Area=	3.14E-02						
	L=	58.5 m						
	V=	3.40 m/s						
	S=	6.37E-02						
	R <sub>h</sub> =	0.04						
	H <sub>f</sub> =	3.73 m						
	=	5.30 psi						
	Flow Test (date	d: June 7, 2024)						
when:	Static Pressure =	92 psi	Flow =	0 gpm =	0	L/s		
	Residual Pressure =	85 psi	Flow = 217	4.86 gpm =	137.23	L/s		
	Pressure							
	(psi)	Flow (L/s)	Based on the Pr	essure/Flow rela	ationship, we h	ave to confir	m that the flow requirem	nent of
	92 85	0.0 137.23	guidelines	e provided at m	inimum pressu	ire (20.3 psi	+ Losses) as set out by	the FUS
		106 69	Fire Flow is abo	o minimum of		25.60	nsi (20 3+Hf)	

Flow available at 20psi =	7657 gpm =	483.13 L/s
Q <sub>avail</sub> @ 20psi =  Q <sub>T</sub> ((F = 2174.8	P <sub>S</sub> -P <sub>A</sub> )/(P <sub>S</sub> -P <sub>R</sub> )) <sup>0.54</sup> 36 x ( (92-20) / (92-85) ) <sup>0.54</sup>	
= 7657	gpm	

#### WATER DEMAND 45 Grenoble Dr U Lithos Project No: UD24-013 Date: December 2024 Prepared by: Isaak Chlorotiris, P.E., M.A.Sc. Reviewed by: Anastasia Tzakopoulou, P.Eng., M.A.Sc. Fire Flow Calculation F= 220 C (A)<sup>1/2</sup> 1 Where F= Fire flow in Lpm **EXISTING BUILDING (TO BE MAINTAINED)** C= construction type coefficient non-combustible construction = 0.8 A = total floor area in sq.m. excluding basements, includes garage\* Area Applied 761.7 m<sup>2</sup> 100% Level 2= Note: The levels indicated, reference the floors Level 3= 761.7 m<sup>2</sup> 100% with the largest areas, which considers the total Level 4= 761.7 m<sup>2</sup> 50% floor areas which span through the east and west 50% Level 5= 761.7 m<sup>2</sup> towers, and podium (Please refer to building stats). Level 6= 761.7 m<sup>2</sup> 50% Level 7= 761.7 m<sup>2</sup> 50% Level 8= 761.7 m<sup>2</sup> 50% 761.7 m<sup>2</sup> 50% Level 9= Level 10= 761.7 m<sup>2</sup> 50% Level 11= 761.7 m<sup>2</sup> 50% = 4,570 sq.m. F = 11.898 L/min F(No.1) = 220C√A F = 12,000 L/min Round to nearest 1000 l/min 2 Occupancy Reduction 15% reduction for limited combustible occupancy F = 10200 L/min 3 Sprinkler Reduction 0% Reduction F = 10200 I/min Separation Charge 4 >30m 0% North 10% East 20.1m to 30m 10 1m to 20m 15% South 0% West >30m 25% Total Separation Charge, 2550 I /min F = 12,750 L/min 212.50 L/s F = 3369 US GPM **Domestic Flow Calculations** Population High Rise = 456 Persons from Site Statistics Average Day Demand = 190 L/cap/day 1 US Gallon=3.785 L Residential Flow= 1.00 L/s 0 m<sup>2</sup> from Site Statistics Retail/Commercial Area= 1 US GPM=15.852L/s Average Day Demand= 2.8 L/m2/day Retail/Commercial Flow= 0.00 L/s 1.00 L/s Total Flow= 15.63 US GPM Max. Daily Demand Peaking Factor = 1.5 Max. Daily Demand = 1.50 L/s 24 US GPM or Max. Hourly Demand Peaking Factor = 2.25 Max. Hourly Demand = 2.26 L/s 36 US GPM = Max Daily Demand = 1.50 L/s Fire Flow = 212.50 L/s

U Lithos
----------

#### WATER DEMAND

45 Grenoble Dr Project No: UD24-013 Date: December 2024 Prepared by: Isaak Chlorotiris, P.E., M.A.Sc. Reviewed by: Anastasia Tzakopoulou, P.Eng., M.A.Sc.

Pressure Loss	ses						
Hazen-Williams For	mula						
$V = kCR_{h}^{0.63} xS^{0}$	0.54						
k= 0.85	- conversion factor (	0.849 for SI units and 1.318 fo	or US custom	nary units)			
C= 140	- roughness coefficie	ent (PVC : 140-150)					
S= h <sub>f</sub> /L							
Rh= D/4	- hydraulic radius (D	0/4 for full flow, A/P <sub>w</sub> for partia	lly flow)				
Fire Fiah	ting and Domesti	ic Head Loss					
Flow Requirements=	214 00 l/s						
Diameter=	150 mm						
Area=	1.77E-02						
L=	40.6 m						
v=	12.11 m/s						
S=	6.71E-01						
R. =	0.04						
· •n	07.05						
H <sub>f</sub> =	27.25 m						
=	38.77 psi						
Flow Test (date	<u>d: June 7, 2024)</u>						
when: Static Pressure =	90 psi	Flow = 0 g	pm =	0	L/s		
Residual Pressure =	85 psi	Flow = 2174.86	gpm =	137.23	L/s		
_							
Pressure			<i></i>				
(psi)	Flow (L/s)	Based on the Pressur	e/Flow relation	onship, we h	ave to confirm	n that the flow req	uirement of
92	0.0	214.00 L/s can be pro	vided at mini	mum pressu	re (20.3 psi +	<ul> <li>Losses) as set o</li> </ul>	ut by the FUS
85	137.23	guidelines					
81.1	214.00	Fire Flow is above mi	nimum of		59.07	psi (20.3+Hf)	
ince the flow of 214.00 L/s inimum of 59.07 psi), we	s required for the pr anticipate that the e	roposed development is pro existing watermain infrastru	vided in the cture can su	existing wa pport the p	termain at 8 roposed dev	1.1 psi (which is elopment.	more than the
	Flow ava	ailable at 20psi =	7657 gpm	1 =	483.1	3 L/s	
		Q <sub>avail</sub> @ 20psi = Q <sub>T</sub> ((P <sub>S</sub> -P <sub>A</sub>	)/(P <sub>S</sub> -P <sub>R</sub> )) <sup>0.54</sup>				
		= 2174.86 x	( (92-20) / (9;	2-85) ) <sup>0.54</sup>			

## **Fire Hydrant Flow Test Report**

	General In	formation										
Report No. : FHR-24-06-07 Project No. : PUD24-013 Site Address/Location: 45 G Region/Municipality: City Residual Fire Hydrant Locati Flow Fire Hydrant Location/ Watermain Pipe Size (mm) : Test Equipment Orifice Size Test Equipment Orifice Size Test Equipment Orifice coef Date of test: 07-Jun-24 Time of test: 9:00 Temperature: 17°C Testing Method : NFPA 291	-01 irenoble Dr., TO of Toronto on/description : 48 GRENO description : OP/ 9 GR 400 mm (in) : 2.5 ficient : 0.9 (Recommended Practice for F	Date : 07-Jun-24 DBLE DR / HY4015071 ENOBLE DR / HY4015064	g of Hydrants)									
	Atten	dants										
	Name	Title	Contact Info.									
Lithos Inspector	Peter	<b>Project Inspector</b>	(437)-215-1144									
Lithos Inspector	Mauricio	Project inspector (437)-603-7										
City of Toronto Rep.	Tony	Inspector	(647)-459-5077									
	Site Plar	n/Sketch										
Flow Fire Hydrant	Resi 400 mm watermain	dual Fire Hydrant 45 Grenoble	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A									

	Pressure Readings (PSIG)														
Flow Hydrant's Outlet Condition	C-0 C-0 Outlet #1 : Close Outlet #2 : Close	C-1 { Outlet #1 : Open Outlet #2 : Close	C-2 { Outlet #1 : Open Outlet #2 : Open												
Residual Fire Hydrant	92	89	85												
Flow Fire Hydrant	-	52	42												

3

## **Fire Hydrant Flow Test Report**

											(	Ger	nera	al Ir	fo	rm	atio	on														
Report	Report No. :         FHR-24-06-07-01         Date :         07-Jun-24           Project No. :         PUD24-013         Date :         07-Jun-24																															
Project	No	.: I	PUD2	24-0	13																											
Site Add	dre	ss/L	ocati	on:	45	Gre	eno	ble	Dr.,	, то																						
Region/	Μι	inici	ipalit	y:	Cit	y o	f To	oror	nto																							
												Pre	ssu	re-l	Flo	w	Гab	ole														
Con	ndit	ion				C-0	)				C	-1						C-2	2				(	2(2	0)					C(0	)	
Pressu	ire	(PSI	G)			92					8	9						85						20	)					0		
Flow	(l	JSGI	PM)			0					120	9.9	8				21	.74	.86	;			76	56	.62				8	740.	23	
11000		(L/S) 0.00 76.35 137.23 483.13 551.51															51															
	Pressure-Flow Graph																															
	Pressure-Flow Graph																															
	100																															
1	100						_																				_					
		92			20		$\pm$				_	-			_	$\rightarrow$	_	_	_	$\pm$					_		_	_				-
	90	<b>F</b>					+	8	5		-	-				-				-							+	—				-
	80	F			+	-	$\pm$																				#	+			_	-
							$\pm$																				_	_				-
	70	E					+																				_	_				-
					-	+	$\pm$				+																_	_				-
0	60				_	-	$\pm$				-									_							—	-				-
Š	00				_		+				+											-					_	_	_		_	-
E E	50				-	+	+				+	-				+			-		+	-		_			—	_				-
nre	50						_																									-
SSI					_	-	+				+	-				-				_							_	_				-
e e	40	E				-	$\pm$				-					_				_							_	-			_	-
					-	_	+				-					_				_	_	-					_		_		_	-
	30	$\vdash$			_	-	$\pm$				+											_					$\pm$	+				-
						-	$\pm$					-			-	_											X	20-				-
	20	+			+	+	<b>_</b>				+					_	-			+						-	-					-
					-	+	+				-	-				-				-		-					3	Ŧ				-
	10						_																				20					
						+	+				-				_	_				_							26	+			0	-
	0																															1
		0		10	00		2	000		3	000			400	0			500	00		6	000			700	00		8	000		9	000
															-		/1	10/	CD	224	、											
														ſ	10	<b>, V</b> V	U	50	57	IVI	)											
							=							_													=	=				
														Re	sul	t																
Maxim	um	ava	ilabl	e flo	w a	t 2	0PS	51 =			765	6.6	2ι	JSG	ΡN	1	or		48	83.	13	_/s										

## **Fire Hydrant Flow Test Report**

		General Informatio	on	
Report No. : FHR-24-06-07-	-02	Date :	07-Jun-24	
Project No. : PUD24-013				
Site Address/Location: 45 G	renoble Dr., TO			
Region/Municipality: City	of Toronto			
Residual Fire Hydrant Location	on/description :	<b>1 DEAUVILLE LAN</b>	E / HY4015242	
Flow Fire Hydrant Location/	description :	<b>58 GRENOBLE DR</b>	/ HY4015223	
Watermain Pipe Size (mm) :	300 mm			
Test Equipment Orifice Size	(in) : <b>2.5</b>			
Test Equipment Orifice coeff	ficient : 0.9			
Date of test: 07-Jun-24				
Time of test: 10:00				
Temperature: 17°C				
Testing Method : NFPA 291 (	Recommended Pra	actice for Fire Flow	<b>Testing and Mark</b>	ing of Hydrants)
		Attendants		
	Name		Title	Contact Info.
Lithos Inspector	Peter	Pro	ject Inspector	(437)-215-1144
Lithos Inspector	Mauricio	Pro	oject inspector	(437)-603-7725
City of Toronto Rep.	Tony		Inspector	(647)-459-5077
		Site Plan/Sketch		
		1. 1. 1		
	🔪 👗 Residu	al Fire Hydrant		



	Pressure Readings (PSIG)														
Flow Hydrant's	$\int Outlet #1 : Close$	$\int_{C-1} \int_{C-1} Outlet #1 : Open$	$\int_{C-2} \int_{C-2} Outlet #1 : Open$												
Outlet Condition	Outlet #2 : Close	Outlet #2 : Close	Outlet #2 : Open												
Residual Fire Hydrant	90	87	85												
Flow Fire Hydrant	-	68	48												

## **Fire Hydrant Flow Test Report**

											_					G	er	ner	al	In	fo	rm	at	io	n																			
Report N	Report No.:         FHR-24-06-07-02         Date:         07-Jun-24           Project No.:         PUD24-013         Date:         07-Jun-24																																											
Project I	No.	:	PU	D2	4-0	13	}																																					
Site Add	lres	s/L	002	atio	on:	4	5 0	Gre	enc	bl	le	Dr	.,	ГО	)																													
Region/	Mu	nic	ipa	lity	/:	С	ity	of	f Te	ord	on	to																																
																Ρ	re	รรเ	ure	≥-F	lo	w	Та	bl	е																			
Con	diti	on					С	<b>:-0</b>							(	C-1	L							C	2-2	2						C	2(2	0)							C((	0)		
Pressu	re (I	PSI	G)				ç	<del>)</del> 0					87									Ę	85					20											0	)				
Гюм	(U	SG	PM	)				0							138	33	.6	6					2	32	25.	.02						96	67	'.9	8					11	07	3.2	21	
FIOW		(L/	S)				0.	.00	)						8	7.3	31						1	14	6.	71						62	LO.	.05	5					6	98	.72	2	
	Pressure-Flow Graph																																											
1	00 -							_			<u> </u>			_		_	_					<u> </u>	_					_	_						_		_							7
	o	0					+	+																						-							+	+						1
	90				8	37	-	+	OE																												_	-						
							+	Ţ														Ħ	_			-			+	+							+	+	-			+		1
	80 -						-	$\pm$																													-	-						-
	00			-		+	+	$\pm$	+		$\square$							-								-			+	+							+	_	-					1
	70		-	-		-	+	+	-													Ħ				+			-	+	-						+	+	+			+		1
	70 -						-	$\pm$																													_	+						-
			-	-		+	+	+	+		$\equiv$				_			+								+			-	+	-					_	+	+	+	_		+		
Sic	60 -		+	+		+	+	+	+	$\square$		_		-	_	-	_	+				F			-	+	+		+	+	-				_		+	+	+	-		+		1
ä							+	Ŧ																													+	$\pm$						
re	50 -		-	+		+	+	+	+		$ \rightarrow$					-						Ħ							-	-							+	$\pm$	+					1
nse						-	$\pm$	+																													+	$\pm$				_		1
res	40 -					+	_	+	_		$\equiv$																		+								_	_				_		
_ ₽_				-		-	-	+	-									-				Ħ				-			-	+							+	+	-			-		1
	30 -						-	$\pm$																													_	_						
			-				+	+	-		<b></b>															-										20	$\mp$	+	+					
	20 -		+	-			_	-	-			-		-		-		-		-			-	-		-		-		+	-	_				N	+	+	-			-		1
							_	-	_																										8		X	$\pm$						
	10 -			-			_	+																						+					<u>7</u> .9	1	+	<b>1</b>						1
							-	Ŧ	-																				-						966	1	+	+			0			-
	0 -							+			╡																								-	+	_							
	(	)		10	00		20	000	)		300	00		4	000	)		50	000	)		600	00			700	0		8	000	)		90	00		1	000	00		11(	000		12	000
																							_					_																
																				F	0	W	(	U	S	GF	'N	1)																
																			R	les	ul	t																						
Maximu	um	ava	ila	ble	e flo	w	at	20	)P:	SI =	=				96	67	.9	8	US	GI	PN	1	01	r		6	10	.0!	5	_/s														




# **Sanitary Sewer Capacity Analysis**

# **Lithos**

December 2024

UD24-013

## Downstream Sanitary Capacity Analysis Report



Project: **45 Grenoble Drive**, TO **Client: Davad Investments Inc.** 

Lithos Group Inc. 150 Bermondsey Road Toronto, ON M4A-1Y1 Tel: (416) 750-7769 Email: info@LithosGroup.ca

#### **PREPARED BY:**

Erationer

Thanasis Tsiamantas, P.E., M.A.Sc. Water Resources Analyst

**REVIEWED BY:** 



Iraklis Nikoletos, P.E., Ph.D. Head of Water Resources Dept

#### AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUE BY:

#### LITHOS GROUP INC.



Nick Moutzouris, P.Eng., M.A.Sc. Principal

Identification	Date	Description of issued and/or revision
Downstream Sanitary Capacity Analysis Report	December 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2024	Issued for ZBA

#### Statement of Conditions

This Report / Study (the "Work") has been prepared at the request of, and for the exclusive use of, the Owner / Client, the City of Toronto and its affiliates (the "Intended User"). No one other than the Intended User has the right to use and rely on the Work without first obtaining the written authorization of Lithos Group Inc. and its Owner. Lithos Group Inc. expressly excludes liability to any party except the intended User for any use of, and/or reliance upon, the Work.

Neither possession of the Work, nor a copy of it, carries the right of publication. All copyright in the Work is reserved to Lithos Group Inc. The Work shall not be disclosed, produced or reproduced, quoted from, or referred to, in whole or in part, or published in any manner, without the express written consent of Lithos Group Inc. and the Proponent.

#### **Executive Summary**

Lithos Group Inc. (Lithos) was retained by Davad Investments Inc. (the "Owner") to prepare a Downstream Sanitary Capacity Analysis Report in support of a Zoning By-law Amendment Application for a proposed residential development comprised by an existing 28-storey residential building which will be maintained and a proposed 39-storey residential building at 45 Grenoble Drive (M3C-1C4), in the City of Toronto (the "City"). The following is a summary of our conclusions:

#### **Existing Conditions**

The sanitary flow from the site is currently being discharged into the existing 450 mm diameter sanitary sewer, along the existing Easement, which the west boundary of the subject property, flowing south. Under pre-development conditions, the sanitary flow from the site is estimated at 5.29 L/s. The downstream analyzed sanitary network consists of nineteen (19) sewer segments up to the 600 mm diameter sanitary trunk sewer between Don Mills Road and Don Valley Parkway (trunk connection, MH\_ID#: MH5512534175). Under existing **Dry Weather Flow (DWF) Conditions**, the sanitary sewer system operates under free flow conditions and no surcharge occurs, while under existing **Wet Weather Flow (WWF) Conditions (May 12, 2000 storm event)**, the modeling results show that the existing sanitary system experiences minor surcharging with freeboard (freeboard>1.8 m) at six (6) sewer segments. In addition, the minimum available freeboard is 2.27m (Pipe ID: SL4172651, Map ID: #18).

#### **Proposed Conditions**

Under proposed conditions, the existing residential building will be preserved, and a new residentialuse building will be constructed.

Sanitary flow from the proposed building will be discharged to the existing 250mm diameter sanitary sewer at Grenoble Drive, flowing south, while the sanitary connection of the existing building will be maintained. The 250mm diameter sanitary sewer along Grenoble Drive and the existing 450mm diameter along existing easement are part of the same sewer network ultimately discharging into the 600mm diameter trunk sewer located between Don Mills Road and Don Valley Parkway (trunk connection, MH\_ID#: MH5512534175). Please refer to DAP-1.1 for details.

Flow generation from the site, consists of approximately 13.35 L/s and an infiltration allowance of about 0.23 L/s, resulting in a total flow of 13.58 L/s and a net increase towards of the network along Grenoble Drive of 8.29 L/s under proposed conditions, while the network along the easement will not be affected.

Under proposed **Dry Weather Flow (DWF) Conditions**, the sanitary sewer system operates under free flow conditions and no surcharge occurs, while under **Wet Weather Flow (WWF) Conditions (May 12, 2000 storm event)**, the modelling results show that the sanitary system experiences minor surcharging with freeboard (freeboard>1.8 m) at eight (8) sewer segments. In addition, the minimum available freeboard at the entire network is calculated at 2.24m (Pipe ID: SL4172651, Map ID: #18).

#### Conclusion

According to Table 1: Capacity Criteria for Sanitary and Combined Sewers, of the Sewer Capacity Assessment Guidelines:

<u>Criterion 1</u>: Under Dry Weather Flow conditions, the system operates under free flow conditions and no surcharge (HGL is below the pipe obvert) occurs.

<u>Criterion 2</u>: Under proposed Wet Weather Flow conditions, which include I&I generated under the May 12, 2000 storm event, the HGL in the downstream sewers is at least 1.80 m below grade.

PUD24-013 (December 2024)

The Downstream Sanitary Capacity Analysis demonstrates that the proposed residential development at 45 Grenoble Drive does not increase the risk of basement flooding and can be serviced by the existing sanitary network.

### **Table of Contents**

1.0 Introduction
2.0 Sanitary Servicing Design Criteria
3.0 Site Description
3.1 Existing Site9
3.2 Proposed Site9
4.0 Sanitary Capacity and Overflow Analysis
5.0 Model Preparation
5.1 Recent Developments
5.2 Data Quality Assessment 11
5.3 Model Calibration – Observation for Future Use
6.0 Model Scenarios
7.0 Results
7.1 Existing Dry Weather Flow (DWF) Conditions
7.2 Proposed Dry Weather Flow (DWF) Conditions (240 L/c/d)
7.3 Existing Wet Weather Flow (WWF) Conditions (May 12, 2000 storm)
7.4 Proposed Wet Weather Flow (WWF) Conditions (May 12, 2000 storm) (240 L/c/d) 15
8.0 Conclusion

#### **List of Figures**

Figure 1-1 Site Overview	7
DAP1.1 Pre and Post Development Site Contribution to Combined Sewer	17
DAP3 Existing Downstream Sanitary Network Drainage Area Plan	18
Figure 2 Infoworks Model Input Parameters (Dry Weather)	22
Figure 3 Wastewater Profile	23
Figure 4 Existing Dry Weather Flow Cross Section	25
Figure 5 Proposed Dry Weather Flow Cross Section	26
DAP3-1 Type of conditions of the Downstream Sewer Network-Scenario 1	27
DAP3-2 Type of conditions of the Downstream Sewer Network-Scenario 2	28
Figure 6 Infoworks Model Input Parameters, Hydrology (Wet Weather)	29
Figure 7 Infoworks Model RTK Hydrograph	30
Figure 8 Existing Wet Weather Flow Cross Section	32
Figure 9 Proposed Wet Weather Flow Cross Section	33
DAP3-3 Type of conditions of the Downstream Sewer Network-Scenario 3	34
DAP3-4 Type of conditions of the Downstream Sewer Network-Scenario 4	35

#### **List of Tables**

Table 2.1 –Sanitary Flows	8
Table 5.1 –Recent Developments Included In The Model	.11
Table 5.2 – Input Parameters (Dry Weather)	.12
Table 5.3 – Input Parameters (Dry Weather)	.12
Table 5.4 – Input Parameters, Hydrology (Wet Weather)	.12
Table 7.1 – Dry Weather Flow (DWF) Analysis	. 24
Table 7.2 – Wet Weather Flow (WWF) Analysis (May12, 2000 storm event)	
(240 L/c/d)	.31

#### **Appendices**

Appendix A- Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet

- **Appendix B– Infoworks Result Sheets**
- Appendix C Supporting Documentation

#### **1.0 Introduction**

Lithos Group Inc. (Lithos) was retained by Davad Investments Inc. (the "Owner") to prepare a Downstream Sanitary Capacity Analysis Report in support of a Zoning By-law Amendment Application for a proposed residential development comprised by an existing 28-storey residential building which will be maintained and a proposal 39-storey residential building at 45 Grenoble Drive, in the City of Toronto (the "City").

The purpose of this report is to provide site-specific information for the City for their review with respect to the municipal sanitary infrastructure downstream, required to support the proposed residential development.

The following documents were available for our review:

- InfoWorks ICM model prepared as part of the City's Basement Flooding Study Area 55, completed in 2022;
- City of Toronto Infoworks CS Basement Flooding Model Studies Guideline, dated October 2014;
- Engineering Design Guidelines for the City of Toronto (January 2021);
- Sewer Capacity Assessment Guidelines for the City of Toronto (July 2021); and,
- Google Maps Overhead Satellite Imagery, Google Street View, and ESRI Base maps.



**Figure 1-1 Site Overview** 

#### 2.0 Sanitary Servicing Design Criteria

As per the City of Toronto's Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains, the following guidelines were used in this analysis:

Usage	Design Flow	Units	Population Equivalent
Residential	240	Litres / capita / day	Townhouse unit = 2.7 ppu Studio/1 Bedroom Unit = 1.4 ppu 2 Bedroom Unit = 2.1 ppu 3 Bedroom Unit = 3.1 ppu

Table 2.	1 – Sanitary	Flows
----------	--------------	-------

In addition, the design criteria used for this analysis were based on the City of Toronto's Sanitary Sewer Surcharge Approval Guideline for Development Applications. During Dry Weather Flow (DWF) Conditions, no surcharging of existing or proposed sewers should apply. With respect to the Wet Weather Flow (WWF) Conditions, the minimum hydraulic grade line (HGL) depth of 1.8m below the road grade for both existing and proposed sewers should apply.

Furthermore, according to the Sewer Capacity Assessment Guidelines for the City of Toronto (July 2021), the following criteria need to be achieved:

- Under proposed design flow (design sanitary sewage and design I&I allocation rate) conditions, there will be no surcharge (HGL is below pipe obvert) in the sewer system. Otherwise, mitigation measures will be required.
- 2) Under proposed WWF Conditions (design sanitary sewage and estimated WWF I&I), which includes I&I generated under the May 12, 2000 storm event (estimate equivalent 25-year design storm, where no WWF I&I for May 12, 2000 event is available from BFPP studies), the HGL in the sewer will be at least 1.80 m below grade. Otherwise, mitigation measures will be required.
- 3) Under proposed WWF Conditions, WWF mitigation measures (includes WWF/I&I reduction, sewer upsizing and upgrades) will ensure that the proposed HGL will be no greater, than the existing HGL. The proposed peak flow rate will be no greater than existing peak flow rate at the connection to the trunk sewer or pumping station.

#### **3.0 Site Description**

The subject property is located within the City's Basement Flooding Area 55 (BFA55). The basement flooding EA for BFA55 was completed in 2022. The sewershed for BFA55 is fully serviced by sanitary sewers.

#### 3.1 Existing Site

The existing site is approximately 8,945.2 m<sup>2</sup> (0.895 hectares). It is currently occupied by a 28-storey residential development and underground parking area. The site is bound by Grenoble Drive to the north and east and landscape area to the south and west, as shown in Figure 1-1.

Using the design criteria outlined in **Section 2.0** and existing site information, the sanitary discharge flow from the existing residential building is estimated at 5.29 L/s (including inflow and infiltration from the site). Please refer to **Appendix A** for more details.

#### 3.2 Proposed Site

The proposed development will be comprised by:

- A proposed 39-storey residential building; and,
- The existing 28-storey residential building which will be maintained;

The proposed building will consist of 405 residential units and will be facilitated by three (3) levels of underground parking. In addition, the proposed building will include approximately 28,493.5 m<sup>2</sup> of Gross Floor Area (GFA).

Using the design criteria outlined in **Section 2.0**, a total population of one thousand two hundred and twenty-seven (1,227) people was considered to estimate the proposed total discharge flow of 13.58 L/s, (0.23 L/s infiltration flow and 13.35 L/s sanitary flow) from the proposed development. Therefore, the additional net discharge flow from the proposed development is anticipated at 8.29 L/s. Please refer to **Appendix A** for more details.

#### 4.0 Sanitary Capacity and Overflow Analysis

A capacity analysis was conducted using the City's InfoWorks ICM sewer model (the "Model"). This Model was developed in 2022 as a part of basement flooding remediation and a water quality improvement master plan for Area 55. In addition, the Model has been updated with all future developments available in the City's Development Applications found online and the latest version was used for this analysis.

The model was used to analyze the sanitary sewer network from the proposed development up to the 600 mm diameter sanitary trunk sewer between Don Mills Road and Don Valley Parkway (trunk connection, MH\_ID#: MH5512534175).

The following assumptions were made when performing the capacity analysis:

- The model used the RTK unit hydrograph approach to generate an I/I rate during the May 12, 2000 storm. This approach allows for the generation of different I/I rates during the ramped analysis. The I&I value reflects a number of different potential sources including infiltration from public and private properties as well as potential inflows including downspouts, perforated MH lids etc;
- The models assumed the downstream boundary conditions as "Free Flow", as available flow monitoring data suggested limited surcharging conditions with no negative impact on local collection system;

- The existing pipe properties, modelling approach, and other assumptions made in the preparation of the provided InfoWorks model are correct and the provided BFA55 InfoWorks model can be used to perform the analysis;
- The Analysis can be conducted by assessing the difference in the system performance between the existing and proposed scenarios under both Dry Weather Flow (DWF) and Weather Flow (WWF) conditions;
- Sanitary flows and private water/groundwater from development sites within the sewershed were manually added to each applicable sewer section for sanitary analysis. As such, these flows were modelled as additional foul flows in the InfoWorks model;
- New developments and their respective groundwater infiltration flows were determined from the City's Application Information Centre (AIC);
- The City's design criteria are valid to estimate populations and flow generation rates within the study area;
- Design (proposed) conditions can be assessed using dry weather conditions as modelled in BFA55;
- Wet Weather Flow (WWF) conditions can be assessed by running the BFA55 model with the (Oriole Yard) May 12, 2000 storm hyetographs;
- Best efforts have been made to include all peak flows from Private Water Discharge Agreements in the sanitary sewershed; and,
- No parameter adjustments were made in the BFA55 model.

#### **5.0 Model Preparation**

A review of the available data was undertaken to determine any necessary changes or revisions required to be incorporated into the received BFA55 model. There were no pipe upgrades to the analyzed network since the completion of the BFA55 model in 2022.

The subject property is located in a subcatchment area within the BFA55 model. The population estimate for the subcatchment has been increased to account for the existing and the proposed (future) population change. The existing sanitary flows estimated, were applied to the subcatchment area and were maintained under the post-development scenario.

#### **5.1 Recent Developments**

All the recent development applications since the completion of the model from the City's website were taken into consideration. The City's Design Criteria were used to estimate the population within the Basement Flooding Area 55 (BFA55). Recent developments and their associated site flows were estimated as shown in the table below.

Recent developments and their associated site flows were estimated as shown in Table 5.1 below.

No	Site Address	Res. Pop.	Non- Res. Area (ha)	Non- Res. Pop.	Ground water Flow (L/s)	Total Sanitary Flow (L/s)	Net Flow (L/s)	Status Application
1.	48 Grenoble Dr.	1,882	0.068	-	-	19.01	14.82	Closed
2.	25 St Dennis Dr.	1,101	-	-	-	22.67	16.09	Draft Plan
3.	200 Gateway Blvd.	1,746			0.94	17.44	12.63	Under Review
4.	7-11 Rochefort Dr.	2,680	0.2232	-	-	49.46	45.34	Under Review
5.	7 St Dennis Dr.	5,374	-	-	-	56.78	43.80	Under Review
6.	789-797 Don Mills Rd.	4,470	3.59	-	-	44.82	40.85	Appeal Received
7.	805 Don Mills Rd.	1,764	-	-	-	17.77	-	Approval (?)
8.	1185 Eglinton Ave. E.	1,192	-	-	-	12.42	-	Approval (?)
9.	1 Deauville Lane	3,066	-	-	-	29.5	26.4	Under Review

#### 5.2 Data Quality Assessment

According to "City of Toronto InfoWorks CS Basement Flooding Model Studies Guidelines", dated October 2024, the completeness of the modelling data sets, both in terms of physical node-link development and suitability of flow monitoring data was assessed. Identification of data gaps, based on the provided asset geodatabase from the City of Toronto was completed via Site Investigation. The following observations were made:

Information pertaining sewer segment, along Grenoble Drive, obtained from Site Investigation
is not in alignment with the information provided in InfoWorks ICM Model. More specifically,
the City's Model illustrate that sewer segment (#SL4036327) operates as storage unit
(downstream invert is lower than the upstream invert of the next sewer segment (#SL4036331),
which plays an important role to the downstream analyzed network.

According to the Site Investigation report, prepared by Lithos Inspection Team, dated April 2024, the upstream invert of #SL4036331 is 0.133m lower than the downstream invert of #SL4036327. Please refer to the Site Investigation, prepared by Lithos Group dated 12 April, 2024, found in Appendix C.

#### 5.3 Model Calibration – Observation for Future Use

The model simulation was not compared to observed data for proper calibration of the model and the current version is considered that represent realistic conditions.

Upon review of the City's Infoworks ICM model, the parameters of baseflow, diurnal pattern, per capita flow rates and population are summarized in Table 5.2

Baseflow (L/s)	Diurnal Pattern	Per Capita Flow Rate	Population within a single
	Factor	(L/c/d)	Subcatchment
0.02 - 3.53	0.43 - 3.00	240	0 – 2,705

#### Table 5.2 – Input Parameters (Dry Weather)

For Wet Weather flow conditions the parameters of initial loss, runoff coefficient and roughness are summarized in Table 5.3 while "R", "T" and "K" parameters of RTK Hydrograph are summarized in Table 5.4.

#### Table 5.3 – Input Parameters (Wet Weather)

Surface Type	Parameters				
	Initial Loss (m)	Runoff Coefficient	Roughness		
Impervious	0.000071	1.00	0.009		

#### Table 5.4 – Input Parameters, Hydrology (Wet Weather)

Parameters				
RTK Values (Hydrograph ID: Profile 55-SAN22)				
R	т	К	Manning	
0.018-0.020	0.5-12.0	1.0	0.025	

Although the peak flow responses are overestimated, the current analysis has been conducted without any modifications and parameters adjustments except from Baseflow values.

PUD24-013 (December 2024)

#### **6.0 Model Scenarios**

The capacity analysis was performed on all receiving sanitary sewers from the development up to the last sanitary sewer before the trunk connection (MH\_ID#: MH5512534175). Four (4) scenarios were considered for the analysis, covering both Dry Weather Flow (DWF) and Wet Weather Flow (WWF) conditions:

- 1. Existing DWF Conditions (base model updated with all other development applications and existing site flow (not the proposed site flows) + reflective of current sewer system conditions);
- Proposed DWF Conditions (240L/c/d) (base model updated with all other development applications and the proposed site flows considering 240L/c/d average wastewater flow generation + reflective of current sewer system conditions);
- Existing WWF Conditions (May 12,2000 storm event) (base model updated with all other development applications and existing site flow (not the proposed site flows) + reflective of current sewer system conditions);
- Proposed WWF Conditions (May 12,2000 storm event) (240 L/c/d) (base model updated with all other development applications and the proposed site flows considering 240L/c/d average wastewater flow generation + reflective of current sewer system conditions);

Furthermore, the existing model, provided by the City, includes the RTK method generating the wet weather flow in the sanitary system. According to the City's InfoWorks CS Basement Flooding Model Studies Guidelines, the RTK unit hydrograph method calculates infiltration and inflow entering the sanitary sewers during wet weather events.

The total I/I in the sanitary sewer system is determined by combining triangular unit hydrographs from three components of flow:

- Rapid inflow (short-term response);
- Moderate infiltration (medium-term response); and,
- Slow infiltration (long-term response).

The following three parameters describe the shape and volume of runoff that enters the sanitary sewer:

- "R" is the fraction of precipitation that becomes direct inflow;
- "T" is the time to peak of the hydrograph; and,
- "K" is the ratio of the recession time to time to peak.

"R" can be equated to the area under the unit hydrograph curve and represents I/I volume per unit area as a fraction of precipitation. The InfoWorks CS model allows for the direct input of RTK parameters on a separate tab.

The I/I component was derived as the instantaneous difference between the total flow of the event and the dry weather flow.

The results for each of the Downstream Sanitary Capacity Analysis scenarios are summarized in the following section.

PUD24-013 (December 2024)

#### 7.0 Results

#### 7.1 Existing Dry Weather Flow (DWF) Conditions

Under Existing Dry Weather Flow (DWF) Conditions plus I/I allowance, the findings can be summarized as follows:

- The peak flow in the segment with the maximum pipe utilization, 91%, (Pipe ID: SL4038124, Map ID: #7) is 284.31 L/s;
- The peak flow at the last sanitary sewer before the trunk connection (Pipe ID: SL4172671, Map ID: #19) is calculated at 330.53 L/s. The pipe is at 41% of its capacity;
- The HGL at the last sanitary sewer before the trunk connection (Pipe ID: SL4172671, Map ID: #19) is 92.92m, while the minimum freeboard attained is 4.51m; and,
- Under this scenario, the sanitary sewer system operates under free flow conditions and no surcharge occurs.

 Table 7.1 and Figure DAP3-1 following this report summarizes the HGL and the peak flows under this scenario.

#### 7.2 Proposed Dry Weather Flow (DWF) Conditions (240 L/c/d)

Under the **Proposed Dry Weather Flow (DWF)** Conditions plus I/I allowance, the findings can be summarized as follows:

- The peak flow in the segment with the maximum pipe utilization, 94%, (Pipe ID: SL4038124, Map ID: #7) is 292.60 L/s;
- The peak flow at the last sanitary sewer before the trunk connection (Pipe ID: SL4172671, Map ID: #19) is calculated at 338.82 L/s. The pipe is at 42% of its capacity;
- The HGL at the last sanitary sewer before the trunk connection (Pipe ID: SL4172671, Map ID: #19) is 92.93m, while the minimum freeboard attained is 4.50m; and,
- Under this scenario, the sanitary sewer system operates under free flow conditions and no surcharge occurs.

 Table 7.1 and Figure DAP3-2 following this report summarizes the HGL and the peak flows under this scenario.

#### 7.3 Existing Wet Weather Flow (WWF) Conditions (May 12, 2000 storm)

Under the Existing Wet Weather Flow (WWF) Conditions, Dry Weather Flow (DWF) plus the estimated I/I under the May 12, 2000 storm event, the findings can be summarized as follows:

• The peak flow in the segment with the maximum pipe utilization, 138%, (Pipe ID: SL4036781, Map ID: #10) is 464.98 L/s;

- The minimum available freeboard, in the downstream sewer segments is 2.27m (Pipe ID: SL4172671, Map ID: #18);
- The peak flow at the last sanitary sewer before the trunk connection (Pipe ID: SL4172671, Map ID: #19) is calculated at 586.16 L/s. The pipe is at 73% of its capacity;
- The HGL at the last sanitary sewer before the trunk connection (Pipe ID: SL4172671, Map ID: #19) is 93.05m, while the minimum freeboard attained is 4.38m; and,
- Under this scenario, the sanitary sewer system experienced minor surcharging with freeboard (freeboard>1.8m) at six (6) sewer segments.

 Table 7.2 and Figure DAP3-3 following this report summarizes the HGL and the peak flows under this scenario.

## 7.4 Proposed Wet Weather Flow (WWF) Conditions (May 12, 2000 storm) (240 L/c/d)

Under the **Proposed Wet Weather Flow (WWF)** Conditions, Dry Weather Flow (DWF) plus the estimated I/I under the May 12, 2000 storm event, the findings can be summarized as follows:

- The peak flow in the segment with the maximum pipe utilization, 140%, (Pipe ID: SL4036781, Map ID: #10) is 470.05 L/s;
- The minimum available freeboard, in the downstream analyzed network is 2.24m (Pipe ID: SL4172651, Map ID: #18);
- The peak flow at the last sanitary sewer before the trunk connection (Pipe ID: SL4172671, Map ID: #19) is calculated at 589.67 L/s. The pipe is at 73% of its capacity;
- The HGL at the last sanitary sewer before the trunk connection (Pipe ID: SL4172671, Map ID: #19) is 93.06m, while the minimum freeboard attained is 4.37m; and,
- Under this scenario, the sanitary sewer system experienced minor surcharging with freeboard (freeboard>1.8m) at eight (8) sewer segments.

 Table 7.2 and Figure DAP3-4 following this report summarizes the HGL and the peak flows under this scenario.

#### 8.0 Conclusion

Based on the analysis and assumptions undertaken for this report, the conclusions are as follows.

- The total population under post-development conditions is estimated one thousand two hundred and twenty-seven (1,227) persons and a peak sanitary flow of 13.58 L/s (including inflow and infiltration peak flow);
- Conveyance capacity of the existing sanitary sewer system was assessed based on the City's Design Criteria (January 2021);

- New developments and their respective groundwater infiltration flows were determined from the City's Application Information Centre (AIC);
- The model has been updated to include all sanitary peak flow rates including peak flow rates from groundwater being discharged to the municipal sanitary system from all active and recent development applications located within the affected sanitary sewershed;
- Best efforts have been made to include all peak flows from Private Water discharge agreements in the sanitary sewershed;
- Four (4) scenarios covering both existing and proposed development conditions were analyzed;
- Under Existing Dry Weather Flow (DWF) Conditions, the system operates under free flow conditions and no sewers are surcharging in the downstream network, from the site up to the 600 mm diameter sanitary trunk sewer between Don Mills Road and Don Valley Parkway (trunk connection, MH\_ID#: MH5512534175),
- Under Proposed Dry Weather Flow (DWF) Conditions, the system operates under free flow conditions and no sewers are surcharging in the downstream network, from the site up to the 600 mm diameter sanitary trunk sewer between Don Mills Road and Don Valley Parkway (trunk connection, MH\_ID#: MH5512534175),
- Under Existing Wet Weather Flow (WWF) (May 12, 2000 storm event) Conditions, simulation
  results indicate that the downstream network is expected to experience minor surcharging
  with freeboard (freeboard>1.8m) at six (6) sewer segments and the minimum freeboard
  attained within the sewer segments is 2.27m, and;
- Under Proposed Wet Weather Flow (WWF) (May 12, 2000 storm event) Conditions, simulation results indicate that the downstream network is expected to experience minor surcharging with freeboard (freeboard>1.8m) at eight (8) sewer segments and the minimum freeboard attained within the sewer segments is 2.24m;

According to Table 1: Capacity Criteria for Sanitary and Combined Sewers, in Sewer Capacity Assessment Guidelines:

<u>Criterion 1</u>: Under Dry Weather Flow conditions, the system operates under free flow conditions and no surcharge (HGL is below the pipe obvert) occurs.

<u>Criterion 2</u>: Under proposed Wet Weather Flow conditions, which include I&I generated under the May 12, 2000 storm event, the HGL in the downstream sewers is at least 1.80 m below grade.

The Downstream Sanitary Capacity Analysis demonstrates that the proposed residential development at 45 Grenoble Drive does not increase the risk of basement flooding and can be serviced by the existing sanitary network.

## **PRE - DEVELOPMENT FLOWS**









## DOWNSTREAM SANITARY SEWER SEGMENT INFORMAT

SEWER SEGMENT	MAINTENANCE HOLE ID (FROM)	MAINTENANCE HOLE ID (TO)	TYPE	SIZE (mm)	L
#1	MH4163818457	MH4156718486	CIR	250	
#2	MH4156718486	MH4149418517	CIR	250	
#3	MH4149418517	MH4148418469	CIR	250	
#4	MH4148418469	MH4148118459	CIR	250	
#5	MH4148118459	MH4144518470	CIR	250	
#6	MH4144518470	MH4141618377	CIR	250	
#7	MH4141618377	MH4136018374	CIR	525	
#8	MH4136018374	MH4130018354	CIR	525	
#9	MH4130018354	MH4121518413	CIR	600	
#10	MH4121518413	MH4113918467	CIR	600	
#11	MH4113918467	MH4106518460	CIR	600	
#12	MH4106518460	MH4101518417	CIR	600	
#13	MH4101518417	MH4098218365	CIR	600	
#14	MH4098218365	MH4094118343	CIR	600	
#15	MH4094118343	MH4092218333	CIR	600	
#16	MH4092218333	MH4091818330	CIR	600	
#17	MH4091818330	MH5512534151	CIR	525	
#18	MH5512534151	MH5512534152	CIR	525	
#19	MH5512534152	MH5512534175	CIR	525	

		GLE, MAP DATA (C LOCATION STING DOWNSTR STING UPSTREAD ISTING UPSTREAD ISTING UPSTREAD INK SEWER AINAGE AREA ILTRATION AREA MBERED SEGMEN TURE DEVELOPMI	2 2024 TELE PLAN REAM SANITARY MANHOLE REAM MANHOL REAM MANHOLE REAM MANHOLE REAM MANHOLE REAM MANHOLE	ATLAS RY NETWORK NETWORK DLE
(m) SLOPE (%)				
77.1 0.82				
79.3 1.01				
49.6 0.67				
10.6 2.55				
37.2 1.8				
97.4 1.9				
55.4 0.52				
64.2 0.55				
103.4 0.3				
93.3 0.3				
74.0 0.57	CI <sup>-</sup> DOWNSTF	TY OF TOF	RONTO	TWORK
66.0 0.61	R	ESIDENTIAL DEVE	ELOPMENT	
61.4 0.6		45 GRENOBLE TORONTO, ON	DRIVE ITARIO	
47.4 0.65	┱	ENGIN	EERING AND	CONSTRUCTION
21.6 38.06	ACCEPTED TO BE IN	ACCORDANCE V		
	ACCEPTED TO BE IN STANDARDS. THIS A VERIFICATION OF E	ACCORDANCE V ACCEPTANCE IS N NGINEERING CON	VITH THE CIT OT TO BE CO ITENT. –	Y OF TORONTO DNSTRUCTED AS
7.6 1.45	ACCEPTED TO BE IN STANDARDS. THIS A VERIFICATION OF E	ACCORDANCE V ACCEPTANCE IS N NGINEERING CON	VITH THE CIT OT TO BE CO ITENT.	Y OF TORONTO DNSTRUCTED AS
7.61.45105.93.49	ACCEPTED TO BE IN STANDARDS. THIS A VERIFICATION OF E Manager,Developmer	ACCORDANCE V ACCEPTANCE IS N NGINEERING CON	th the cliter to be control to the cliter to be control to be control to be control to the cliter to	Y OF TORONTO DNSTRUCTED AS
7.61.45105.93.49101.82.03	TORON ACCEPTED TO BE IN STANDARDS. THIS A VERIFICATION OF E Manager,Developmer ULSIGNED BY: TT DRAWN BY: TT	ACCORDANCE V ACCEPTANCE IS N NGINEERING COM It Engineering	the control of the co	Y OF TORONTO DNSTRUCTED AS Date M4A 1Y1 CHECKED BY: NM APPROVED BY:NM

APPENDIX A Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet

# [[] Lithos

## SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET

#### 45 Grenoble Drive CITY OF TORONTO

									CITY	OF TORO	ΝΤΟ								
			RESIDEN	ITIAL						FLOW							SEWER	DESIGN	
LOCATION	SECTION		NUMBER O	F UNITS		SECTION	TOTAL	AVERAGE	HARMON	RES. PEAK	TOTAL	INFILT.	TOTAL	TOTAL	PIPE	PIPE		FULL FLOW	
	AREA	Studio	1 Bed Apts.	2 Bed Apts.	3 Bed Apts.	POP.	ACCUM.	RESIDENTIAL FLOW '@' 240	PEAKING	FLOW	ACCUM.	@ 0.26	SANITAR	DESIGN	LENGTH	DIA.	SLOPE	CAPACITY	% of DESIGN
					-		POP.	L/c/d	FACTOR		AREA	L/s/ha.	YFLOW	FLOW				n = 0.013	CAPACITY
	(ha.)	@ 1.4 ppu	@ 1.4 ppu	@ 2.1 ppu	@ 3.1 ppu	(persons)	(persons)	(L/s)	0	(L/s)	(ha.)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m)	(mm)	(%)	(L/sec)	(%)
column nunber	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Existing Condition																			
Residential-use Development	0.895	0	0	217	0	456	456	1.27	3.99	5.06	0.895	0.23	5.06	5.29					
Proposed Condition																			
Residential-use development	0.000	0	174	189	42	771	771	2.14	3.87	8.29	0.000	0.00	8.29	8.29		200	2.0%	46.38	18%
Existing Building (to be maintained)	0.895	0	0	217	0	456	456	1.27	3.99	5.06	0.895	0.23	5.06	5.29		200	2.0%	46.38	11%
										Total Flow				13.58					
Residential Flow Rate - 240 litres/ca	pita/day								Τα	otal Net Flow	v			8.29					
Infiltration - 0.26 L/ha																			
Foundation allowance - 5.0 L/ha																			
Peaking Factor  = 1 + [14 / (4 + P <sup>0.5</sup> )], P=Population in thousands																			
Site Area (ha):	0.895																		
		Prepared	by: Thana	asis Tsiar	nantas, I	P.E., M.A	A.Sc.						Projec	:t: 45 G	renobl	e Driv	'e		
<u>ι Litnos</u>		Reviewed	l by: Iraklis	s Nikoleto	s, P.Eng	g., Ph.D.							Projec	t: UD2	24-013				
		Date: Dec	cember 20	24									City of	Toront	0			Sheet	1 OF 1

APPENDIX B Infoworks Result Sheets



#### 45 Grenoble Drive Project No: UD24-013 Date: December 2024

Population	Ground infiltration node	Maximum soil moisture capacity (mm)	Wastewater profile	Base flow (I/s)	Additional foul flow (I/s)	Trade flow (I/s)
2705.00			1	3.53	0.00	
2583.01			1	1.89	0.00	
1500.01			1	1.64	0.00	
1454.00			1	1.08	0.00	
1377.64			1	1.21	0.00	
1359.99			1	1.22	0.00	
1279.00			1	0.34	0.00	
1250.00			1	0.64	0.00	
1249.22			1	2.72	0.00	
1248.00			1	1.45	0.00	
1176.00			1	0.31	0.00	
1148.00			1	1.16	0.00	
1100.00			1	1.44	0.00	
1087.00			1	0.88	0.00	
1030.00			1	1.21	0.00	
978.00			1	0.79	0.00	
967.00			1	1.56	0.00	
961.00			1	0.73	0.00	
914.00			1	0.62	0.00	
900.00			1	0.46	0.00	
836.00			1	0.68	0.00	
800.00			1	1.10	0.00	
798.00			1	0.30	0.00	
795.00			1	0.91	0.00	
794.00			1	0.89	0.00	

Figure 2 - Infoworks Model Input Parameters (Dry Weather)



45 Grenoble Drive Project No: UD24-013 Date: December 2024

escription	1 A55_PF3.0		✓ Edit	Add Delete		
	(Profile = 1	)				
Flow			Pollutant			
	Per Capita Flow (I/day)	240.000	Dissolved	~		
Sediment			Pollutant	Concentration (mg/l)	РН	0.000
Sedimen	t fraction 1 (mg/l)	0.000	BOD	0.000		
			COD	0.000	SAL (kg/m3)	0.000
			TKN	0.000		
Timesteps			NH4	0.000	TW (degC)	0.000
Calibration	profiles: 01:00	Change	TPH	0.000		
_	1.	22	PL1	0.000	601	
Design pro	ofiles: 01:00	Change	PL2	0.000	COL	0.000
			PL3	0.000		
			PL4	0.000		
			DO	0.000		
			NO3	0.000		
			NO2	0.000		

Figure 3: Wastewater profile

#### Table 7.1 Dry Weather Flow (DWF) Analysis

															SC1: Existing DWF					9	SC2: Proposed DWF		
													Full-Flow				Minimum						Minimum
						Upstream	Downstream				Full		Capacity	Maximum		Maximum	Available	Peak	Full-Flow			Maximum	Available
	Upstream	Downstream		Length	Diameter	Ground	Ground	Upstream Invert	Downstream Invert (m	Slope	flow Capacity	Peak Flow	Utilization	HGL		Surcharging	Freeboard	Flow	Capacity	Maximum HGL		Surcharging	Freeboard
Pipe ID	Manhole ID	Manhole ID	MAP ID	(m)	(mm)	Elevation (m)	Elevation (m)	(m AD)	AD)	(%)	(I/s)	(l/s)	(%)	(m AD)	Surcharge Status	(m)	(m)	(l/s)	Utilization (%)	(m AD)	Surcharge Status	(m)	(m)
SL4036327	MH4163818457	MH4156718486	#1	77.10	250	127.55	127.00	123.14	122.51	0.82	53.77	7.17	13.00%	123.20	Free Flow	N/A	4.35	15.46	29.00%	123.23	Free Flow	N/A	4.32
SL4036328	MH4156718486	MH4149418517	#2	79.30	250	127.00	126.79	122.42	121.60	1.03	60.38	8.31	14.00%	122.48	Free Flow	N/A	4.52	16.60	27.00%	122.51	Free Flow	N/A	4.49
SL4036331	MH4149418517	MH4148418469	#3	49.60	250	126.79	126.56	121.30	120.97	0.67	48.52	14.47	30.00%	121.40	Free Flow	N/A	5.39	22.76	47.00%	121.42	Free Flow	N/A	5.36
SL4038116	MH4148418469	MH4148118459	#4	10.60	250	126.56	126.88	120.90	120.63	2.55	94.94	14.47	15.00%	120.97	Free Flow	N/A	5.59	22.76	24.00%	120.99	Free Flow	N/A	5.57
SL4043664	MH4148118459	MH4144518470	#5	37.20	250	126.88	127.61	120.47	119.80	1.80	79.83	14.75	18.00%	120.55	Free Flow	N/A	6.33	23.04	29.00%	120.57	Free Flow	N/A	6.31
SL4038123	MH4144518470	MH4141618377	#6	97.40	250	127.61	121.37	119.61	117.76	1.90	81.98	14.75	18.00%	119.69	Free Flow	N/A	7.92	23.04	28.00%	119.70	Free Flow	N/A	7.90
SL4038124	MH4141618377	MH4136018374	#7	55.40	525	121.37	126.89	116.99	116.70	0.52	311.22	284.31	91.00%	117.39	Free Flow	N/A	3.99	292.60	94.00%	117.40	Free Flow	N/A	3.98
SL4038125	MH4136018374	MH4130018354	#8	64.20	525	126.89	123.84	116.67	116.32	0.55	317.60	284.31	90.00%	117.06	Free Flow	N/A	9.83	292.60	92.00%	117.07	Free Flow	N/A	9.82
SL4036780	MH4130018354	MH4121518413	#9	103.40	600	123.84	121.88	116.24	115.93	0.30	336.26	293.74	87.00%	116.68	Free Flow	N/A	7.17	302.03	90.00%	116.69	Free Flow	N/A	7.16
SL4036781	MH4121518413	MH4113918467	#10	93.30	600	121.88	120.62	115.90	115.62	0.30	336.43	295.13	88.00%	116.33	Free Flow	N/A	5.55	303.42	90.00%	116.34	Free Flow	N/A	5.54
SL4036782	MH4113918467	MH4106518460	#11	74.00	600	120.62	119.84	115.35	114.93	0.57	462.67	303.38	66.00%	115.71	Free Flow	N/A	4.91	311.67	67.00%	115.72	Free Flow	N/A	4.90
SL4036783	MH4106518460	MH4101518417	#12	66.00	600	119.84	119.89	114.90	114.50	0.61	478.10	311.94	65.00%	115.26	Free Flow	N/A	4.59	320.23	67.00%	115.26	Free Flow	N/A	4.58
SL4036784	MH4101518417	MH4098218365	#13	61.40	600	119.89	120.14	114.47	114.10	0.60	476.73	311.94	65.00%	114.83	Free Flow	N/A	5.06	320.23	67.00%	114.84	Free Flow	N/A	5.06
SL4037541	MH4098218365	MH4094118343	#14	47.40	600	120.14	117.08	114.07	113.76	0.65	496.65	311.94	63.00%	114.42	Free Flow	N/A	5.72	320.23	64.00%	114.43	Free Flow	N/A	5.71
SL4037351	MH4094118343	MH4092218333	#15	21.60	600	117.08	108.08	113.18	104.96	38.06	3788.50	311.94	8.00%	113.31	Free Flow	N/A	3.76	320.23	8.00%	113.31	Free Flow	N/A	3.76
SL4037352	MH4092218333	MH4091818330	#16	7.60	600	108.08	105.99	104.96	104.85	1.45	738.84	311.94	42.00%	105.24	Free Flow	N/A	2.84	320.23	43.00%	105.24	Free Flow	N/A	2.84
SL4037350	MH4091818330	MH5512534151	#17	105.90	525	105.99	97.54	99.71	96.01	3.49	804.03	330.16	41.00%	99.95	Free Flow	N/A	6.04	338.45	42.00%	99.95	Free Flow	N/A	6.03
SL4172651	MH5512534151	MH5512534152	#18	101.80	525	97.54	97.43	94.80	92.73	2.03	613.38	330.16	54.00%	95.08	Free Flow	N/A	2.46	338.45	55.00%	95.09	Free Flow	N/A	2.45
SL4172671	MH5512534152	MH5512534175	#19	57.10	525	97.43	93.78	92.68	90.69	3.49	803.02	330.53	41.00%	92.92	Free Flow	N/A	4.51	338.82	42.00%	92.93	Free Flow	N/A	4.50

#### 45 Grenoble Dr Prepared by: Thanasis Tsiamantas, P.E., M.A.Sc. File No. UD24-013 City of Toronto Date: December 2024

Scenario 1 Existing Dry Weather Flow (DWF) Analysis



Scenario 2 Proposed Dry Weather Flow (DWF) Analysis







SEWER SEGMENT	MAINTENANCE HOLE ID (FROM)	MAINTENANCE HOLE ID (TO)	TYPE	SIZE (mm)	L
#1	MH4163818457	MH4156718486	CIR	250	
#2	MH4156718486	MH4149418517	CIR	250	
#3	MH4149418517	MH4148418469	CIR	250	
#4	MH4148418469	MH4148118459	CIR	250	
#5	MH4148118459	MH4144518470	CIR	250	
#6	MH4144518470	MH4141618377	CIR	250	
#7	MH4141618377	MH4136018374	CIR	525	
#8	MH4136018374	MH4130018354	CIR	525	
#9	MH4130018354	MH4121518413	CIR	600	
#10	MH4121518413	MH4113918467	CIR	600	
#11	MH4113918467	MH4106518460	CIR	600	
#12	MH4106518460	MH4101518417	CIR	600	
#13	MH4101518417	MH4098218365	CIR	600	
#14	MH4098218365	MH4094118343	CIR	600	
#15	MH4094118343	MH4092218333	CIR	600	
#16	MH4092218333	MH4091818330	CIR	600	
#17	MH4091818330	MH5512534151	CIR	525	
#18	MH5512534151	MH5512534152	CIR	525	
#19	MH5512534152	MH5512534175	CIR	525	





SEWER	MAINTENANCE HOLE ID	MAINTENANCE HOLE ID	TYPE	SIZE (mm)	LENGTH	SLOPE (%)	
	(FROM)	(TO)	CIR	250	77 1	0.82	
#1 #2	MHΔ156719/96	ΜΗΔ1ΔΩΛ12517		250	70 3	1 ∩1	
#2	мщи1и0и10517	мни1и8и1821/		200	19.3	0.67	
#3 #1	мни1и8и10460	MH/1/2112/50		200	49.0 10.6	0.07	
#4 #6	мшл1л0110409	MHA1AA510470		200	1U.U 27 0	2.00	
#5	мшл1лл610470	MU/1/1610077		200	07 4	1.0	
#C		111141410103//		20U	51.4	0.50	
#6 #7		MU/106010074	UK	ວ∠ວ	ວວ.4	0.52	
#6 #7	MH4141618377	MH4136018374		505	64.0	0.55	
#6 #7 #8	MH4141618377 MH4136018374	MH4136018374 MH4130018354	CIR	525	64.2	0.55	
#6 #7 #8 #9	MH4141618377 MH4136018374 MH4130018354	MH4136018374 MH4130018354 MH4121518413	CIR	525 600	64.2 103.4	0.55	
#6 #7 #8 #9 #10 #14	MH4141618377 MH4136018374 MH4130018354 MH4121518413	MH4136018374 MH4130018354 MH4121518413 MH4113918467	CIR	525 600 600	64.2 103.4 93.3	0.55 0.3 0.3	CITY OF TORONTO
#6 #7 #8 #9 #10 #11	MH4141618377 MH4136018374 MH4130018354 MH4121518413 MH4113918467	MH4136018374 MH4130018354 MH4121518413 MH4113918467 MH4106518460	CIR CIR CIR CIR	525 600 600 600	64.2 103.4 93.3 74.0	0.55 0.3 0.3 0.57	CITY OF TORONTO CITY OF TORONTO DOWNSTREAM SEWER NETWORK - SCENARIO 2: PROPOSED DRY WEATHER FLOW
#6 #7 #8 #9 #10 #11 #12	MH4141618377 MH4136018374 MH4130018354 MH4121518413 MH4113918467 MH4106518460	MH4136018374         MH4130018354         MH4121518413         MH4113918467         MH4106518460         MH4101518417	CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR	525 600 600 600 600	64.2 103.4 93.3 74.0 66.0	0.55 0.3 0.3 0.57 0.61	CITY OF TORONTO DOWNSTREAM SEWER NETWORK - SCENARIO 2: PROPOSED DRY WEATHER FLOW RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT 45 GRENOBLE DRIVE TORONTO, ONTARIO
#6 #7 #8 #9 #10 #11 #12 #13 #4 4	MH4141618377 MH4136018374 MH4130018354 MH4121518413 MH4113918467 MH4106518460 MH4101518417	MH4136018374         MH4130018354         MH4121518413         MH4113918467         MH4106518460         MH4101518417         MH4098218365	CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR	525 600 600 600 600 600	64.2 103.4 93.3 74.0 66.0 61.4	0.55 0.3 0.3 0.57 0.61 0.6	CITY OF TORONTO         DOWNSTREAM SEWER NETWORK -         SCENARIO 2: PROPOSED DRY         WEATHER FLOW         RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT 45 GRENOBLE DRIVE TORONTO, ONTARIO         TORONTO, ONTARIO
#6 #7 #8 #9 #10 #11 #12 #12 #13 #14	MH4141618377         MH4141618377         MH4136018374         MH4130018354         MH4121518413         MH4113918467         MH4106518460         MH4101518417         MH4098218365	MH4136018374         MH4130018354         MH4121518413         MH4113918467         MH4106518460         MH4101518417         MH4098218365         MH409218343	CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR	525 600 600 600 600 600 600	64.2 103.4 93.3 74.0 66.0 61.4 47.4	0.55 0.3 0.3 0.57 0.61 0.61 0.65	CITY OF TORONTO         DOWNSTREAM SEWER NETWORK -         SCENARIO 2: PROPOSED DRY         WEATHER FLOW         RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT         45 GRENOBLE DRIVE         TORONTO, ONTARIO         Image: Complete Drive Scenario Scen
#6 #7 #8 #9 #10 #11 #12 #12 #13 #14 #15	MH4144010470         MH4141618377         MH4136018374         MH4130018354         MH4121518413         MH4113918467         MH4106518460         MH4101518417         MH4098218365         MH4094118343	MH4136018374         MH4130018354         MH41215184133         MH4113918467         MH4106518460         MH4101518417         MH4098218365         MH40941183433         MH40922183333	CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR	525 600 600 600 600 600 600 600	64.2 103.4 93.3 74.0 66.0 61.4 47.4 21.6	0.55 0.3 0.3 0.57 0.61 0.6 0.65 38.06	CITY OF TORONTO         DOWNSTREAM SEWER NETWORK -         SCENARIO 2: PROPOSED DRY         WEATHER FLOW         RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT         45 GRENOBLE DRIVE         TORONTO, ONTARIO         DENEMENTIAL DEVELOPMENT         ACCEPTED TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF TORONTO         SCEPTED TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF TORONTO         SCEPTED TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF TORONTO         SCEPTED TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF TORONTO         SCEPTENCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF TORONTO         SCENCE       INTERVICES DIVISION
#6 #7 #8 #9 #10 #11 #12 #12 #13 #14 #15 #16 #17	MH4141618377         MH4136018374         MH4136018374         MH4130018354         MH4121518413         MH4113918467         MH4106518460         MH4101518417         MH4098218365         MH4094118343         MH4091818333	MH4136018374         MH4130018354         MH41215184133         MH4113918467         MH4106518460         MH4101518417         MH4098218365         MH4094118343         MH4091818330	CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR	525 600 600 600 600 600 600 600 600	64.2 103.4 93.3 74.0 66.0 61.4 47.4 21.6 7.6	0.55 0.3 0.3 0.57 0.61 0.61 0.65 38.06 1.45 3.40	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>
#6 #7 #8 #9 #10 #11 #12 #12 #13 #14 #14 #15 #16 #17 #18	MINANAASTOATO         MH4141618377         MH4136018374         MH4130018354         MH4121518413         MH4113918467         MH4106518460         MH4101518417         MH4098218365         MH4094118343         MH4091818330         MH4091818330	MH4136018374MH4130018354MH41215184133MH4113918467MH4106518460MH41015184177MH40982183655MH40941183433MH40918183300MH40918183301MH55125341512	CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR CIR	525 600 600 600 600 600 600 600 600 525	64.2 103.4 93.3 74.0 66.0 61.4 47.4 21.6 7.6 7.6 105.9 101 8	0.55 0.3 0.3 0.57 0.61 0.6 0.65 38.06 1.45 3.49 2.03	CITY OF TORONTO         DOWNSTREAM SEWER NETWORK -         SCENARIO 2: PROPOSED DRY         WEATHER FLOW         RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT         45 GRENOBLE DRIVE         TORONTO, ONTARIO         CEPTED TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF TORONTO SERVICES DIVISION         ACCEPTED TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF TORONTO SERVICES DIVISION         ACCEPTED TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF TORONTO SERVICED AS VERIFICATION OF ENGINEERING CONTENT.         Manager, Development Engineering       Date         Date       Date         LUC LILIBOS       150 Bermondsey Road, Toronto, Ontario M4A 1Y1         DESIGNED BY:TT       DATE: DECEMBER 2021       CHECKED BY: MM

45 Grenoble Drive Project No: UD24-013 Date: December 2024

	A55_EA_SAN_BaselineConditions	Runoff surface-A55_EA_SAN_BaselineCondition	R.	
1				-

		Surface type	Ground slope (m/m)	Initial loss type	Initial loss value (m)	Initial abstraction factor	Routing model	Fixed runoff coefficient	Minimum runoff	er-specif RAFTS B	Maximum runoff	RAFTS adapt factor	Equivalent Manning's n
F	•	Impervious	0.000000	Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000	Wallingfrd	1.00000				1.000	0.025
	*												
	10	Build-up/washoff land	use Runoff surface G	round infiltration									

Figure 6 - Infoworks Model Input Parameters, Hydrology (Wet Weather)

#### 45 Grenoble Drive Project No: UD24-013 Date: December 2024

RTK hydrograph	ID Response ratio R - short term	Time to peak T - short term (hours)	Recession limb ratio K - short term	Response ratio R - medium term	Time to peak T - medium term (hours)	Recession limb ratio K - medium term	Response ratio R - long term	Time to peak T - long term (hours)	Recession limb ratio K - long term
55-SAN	0.018	0.500	1.000	0.018	2.000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN1	0.016	0.500	1.000	0.018	2.000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN2	0.050	0.500	1.000	0.018	2,000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN3	0.017	0.500	1.000	0.018	2.000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN4	0.018	0.500	1.000	0.018	2.000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN5	0.049	0.500	1.000	0.018	2.000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN6	0.024	0.500	1.000	0.018	2.000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN7	0.025	0.500	1.000	0.018	2.000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN8	0.018	0.500	1.000	0.018	2.000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN9	0.018	0.500	1.000	0.018	2.000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN10	0.026	0.500	1.000	0.018	2,000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN11	0.018	0.500	1.000	0.018	2.000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN12	0.110	0.500	1.000	0.018	2.000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN13	0.017	0.500	1.000	0.018	2.000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN14	0.018	0.500	1.000	0.018	2,000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN15	0.064	0.500	1.000	0.018	2.000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN16	0.035	0.500	1.000	0.018	2.000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN17	0.018	0.500	1.000	0.018	2.000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN18	0.018	0.500	1.000	0.018	2,000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN19	0.020	0.500	1.000	0.018	2.000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN20	0.035	0.500	1.000	0.018	2.000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN21	0.018	0.500	1.000	0.018	2.000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN22	0.020	0.500	1.000	0.018	2.000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00
Prifile 55-SAN23	0.018	0.500	1.000	0.018	2.000	1.000	0.018	12.000	1.00

Figure 7 - Infoworks Model RTK Hydrograph

#### Table 7.2 Wet Weather Flow (WWF) Analysis

															SC3: Existing WWF						SC4: Proposed WWF		
													Full-Flow						Full-Flow				Minimum
							Downstream						Capacity				Minimum Available	Peak	Capacity	Maximum		Maximum	Available
	Upstream	Downstream		Length	Diameter	Upstream Ground	Ground	Upstream Invert	Downstream Invert	Slope	Full	Peak Flow	Utilization	Maximum HGL		Maximum	Freeboard	Flow	Utilization	HGL		Surcharging	Freeboard
Pipe ID	Manhole ID	Manhole ID	MAP ID	(m)	(mm)	Elevation (m)	Elevation (m)	(m AD)	(m AD)	(%)	flow Capacity (I/s)	(l/s)	(%)	(m AD)	Surcharge Status	Surcharging (m)	(m)	(l/s)	(%)	(m AD)	Surcharge Status	(m)	(m)
SL4036327	MH4163818457	MH4156718486	#1	77.10	250	127.55	127.00	123.14	122.51	0.82	53.77	11.96	22.00%	123.22	Free Flow	N/A	4.33	20.25	38.00%	123.25	Free Flow	N/A	4.30
SL4036328	MH4156718486	MH4149418517	#2	79.30	250	127.00	126.79	122.42	121.60	1.03	60.38	18.14	30.00%	122.51	Free Flow	N/A	4.49	26.43	44.00%	122.54	Free Flow	N/A	4.47
SL4036331	MH4149418517	MH4148418469	#3	49.60	250	126.79	126.56	121.30	120.97	0.67	48.52	45.87	95.00%	121.50	Free Flow	N/A	5.29	53.04	109.00%	121.58	Surcharge w.freeboard>1.8m	0.03	5.21
SL4038116	MH4148418469	MH4148118459	#4	10.60	250	126.56	126.88	120.90	120.63	2.55	94.94	45.87	48.00%	121.03	Free Flow	N/A	5.54	53.04	56.00%	121.04	Free Flow	N/A	5.52
SL4043664	MH4148118459	MH4144518470	#5	37.20	250	126.88	127.61	120.47	119.80	1.80	79.83	49.83	62.00%	120.62	Free Flow	N/A	6.26	56.91	71.00%	120.63	Free Flow	N/A	6.24
SL4038123	MH4144518470	MH4141618377	#6	97.40	250	127.61	121.37	119.61	117.76	1.90	81.98	49.45	60.00%	119.76	Free Flow	N/A	7.85	56.39	69.00%	119.77	Free Flow	N/A	7.84
SL4038124	MH4141618377	MH4136018374	#7	55.40	525	121.37	126.89	116.99	116.70	0.52	311.22	414.02	133.00%	118.45	Surcharge w.freeboard>1.8m	0.93	2.93	421.26	135.00%	118.54	Surcharge w.freeboard>1.8m	1.02	2.83
SL4038125	MH4136018374	MH4130018354	#8	64.20	525	126.89	123.84	116.67	116.32	0.55	317.60	414.31	130.00%	117.90	Surcharge w.freeboard>1.8m	0.71	8.99	421.65	133.00%	117.98	Surcharge w.freeboard>1.8m	0.79	8.91
SL4036780	MH4130018354	MH4121518413	#9	103.40	600	123.84	121.88	116.24	115.93	0.30	336.26	451.54	134.00%	117.28	Surcharge w.freeboard>1.8m	0.44	6.56	457.10	136.00%	117.34	Surcharge w.freeboard>1.8m	0.5	6.50
SL4036781	MH4121518413	MH4113918467	#10	93.30	600	121.88	120.62	115.90	115.62	0.30	336.43	464.98	138.00%	116.69	Surcharge w.freeboard>1.8m	0.19	5.20	470.05	140.00%	116.73	Surcharge w.freeboard>1.8m	0.23	5.15
SL4036782	MH4113918467	MH4106518460	#11	74.00	600	120.62	119.84	115.35	114.93	0.57	462.67	494.31	107.00%	116.08	Surcharge w.freeboard>1.8m	0.13	4.53	499.26	108.00%	116.12	Surcharge w.freeboard>1.8m	0.17	4.49
SL4036783	MH4106518460	MH4101518417	#12	66.00	600	119.84	119.89	114.90	114.50	0.61	478.10	516.62	108.00%	115.57	Surcharge w.freeboard>1.8m	0.07	4.27	521.43	109.00%	115.60	Surcharge w.freeboard>1.8m	0.1	4.25
SL4036784	MH4101518417	MH4098218365	#13	61.40	600	119.89	120.14	114.47	114.10	0.60	476.73	516.50	108.00%	115.07	Free Flow	N/A	4.82	521.31	109.00%	115.09	Surcharge w.freeboard>1.8m	0.02	4.80
SL4037541	MH4098218365	MH4094118343	#14	47.40	600	120.14	117.08	114.07	113.76	0.65	496.65	516.48	104.00%	114.61	Free Flow	N/A	5.53	521.28	105.00%	114.61	Free Flow	N/A	5.52
SL4037351	MH4094118343	MH4092218333	#15	21.60	600	117.08	108.08	113.18	104.96	38.06	3788.50	516.48	14.00%	113.34	Free Flow	N/A	3.73	521.28	14.00%	113.35	Free Flow	N/A	3.73
SL4037352	MH4092218333	MH4091818330	#16	7.60	600	108.08	105.99	104.96	104.85	1.45	738.84	516.48	70.00%	105.35	Free Flow	N/A	2.73	521.28	71.00%	105.35	Free Flow	N/A	2.73
SL4037350	MH4091818330	MH5512534151	#17	105.90	525	105.99	97.54	99.71	96.01	3.49	804.03	582.83	72.00%	100.08	Free Flow	N/A	5.91	587.03	73.00%	100.08	Free Flow	N/A	5.90
SL4172651	MH5512534151	MH5512534152	#18	101.80	525	97.54	97.43	94.80	92.73	2.03	613.38	581.60	95.00%	95.27	Free Flow	N/A	2.27	585.23	95.00%	95.30	Free Flow	N/A	2.24
SL4172671	MH5512534152	MH5512534175	#19	57.10	525	97.43	93.78	92.68	90.69	3.49	803.02	586.16	73.00%	93.05	Free Flow	N/A	4.38	589.67	73.00%	93.06	Free Flow	N/A	4.37

#### 45 Grenoble Dr Prepared by: Thanasis Tsiamantas, P.E., M.A.Sc. File No. UD24-013 City of Toronto Date: December 2024

Scenario 3 Existing Wet Weather Flow (WWF) Analysis



Scenario 4 Proposed Wet Weather Flow (WWF) Analysis







SEWER SEGMENT	MAINTENANCE HOLE ID (FROM)	MAINTENANCE HOLE ID (TO)	TYPE	SIZE (mm)	L
#1	MH4163818457	MH4156718486	CIR	250	
#2	MH4156718486	MH4149418517	CIR	250	
#3	MH4149418517	MH4148418469	CIR	250	
#4	MH4148418469	MH4148118459	CIR	250	
#5	MH4148118459	MH4144518470	CIR	250	
#6	MH4144518470	MH4141618377	CIR	250	
#7	MH4141618377	MH4136018374	CIR	525	
#8	MH4136018374	MH4130018354	CIR	525	
#9	MH4130018354	MH4121518413	CIR	600	
#10	MH4121518413	MH4113918467	CIR	600	
#11	MH4113918467	MH4106518460	CIR	600	
#12	MH4106518460	MH4101518417	CIR	600	
#13	MH4101518417	MH4098218365	CIR	600	
#14	MH4098218365	MH4094118343	CIR	600	
#15	MH4094118343	MH4092218333	CIR	600	
#16	MH4092218333	MH4091818330	CIR	600	
#17	MH4091818330	MH5512534151	CIR	525	
#18	MH5512534151	MH5512534152	CIR	525	
#19	MH5512534152	MH5512534175	CIR	525	




	SEWER SEGMENT	MAINTENANCE HOLE ID (FROM)	MAINTENANCE HOLE ID (TO)	TYPE	SIZE (mm)	L
	#1	MH4163818457	MH4156718486	CIR	250	
	#2	MH4156718486	MH4149418517	CIR	250	
	#3	MH4149418517	MH4148418469	CIR	250	
	#4	MH4148418469	MH4148118459	CIR	250	
	#5	MH4148118459	MH4144518470	CIR	250	
	#6	MH4144518470	MH4141618377	CIR	250	
	#7	MH4141618377	MH4136018374	CIR	525	
	#8	MH4136018374	MH4130018354	CIR	525	
	#9	MH4130018354	MH4121518413	CIR	600	
	#10	MH4121518413	MH4113918467	CIR	600	
	#11	MH4113918467	MH4106518460	CIR	600	
	#12	MH4106518460	MH4101518417	CIR	600	
	#13	MH4101518417	MH4098218365	CIR	600	
	#14	MH4098218365	MH4094118343	CIR	600	
	#15	MH4094118343	MH4092218333	CIR	600	
	#16	MH4092218333	MH4091818330	CIR	600	
·	#17	MH4091818330	MH5512534151	CIR	525	
·	#18	MH5512534151	MH5512534152	CIR	525	
	#19	MH5512534152	MH5512534175	CIR	525	

APPENDIX C Supporting Documentation

# Site Investigation Report (Class B)

### PUD24-013 45 Grenoble Drive



# April 12, 2024 Lithos Professional Engineering Services

Lithos Group Inc. 150 Bermondsey Road, Unit 200 Toronto, Ontario, M4A 1Y1 T: 416-750-7769 E: info@lithosgroup.ca www.LithosGroup.ca



Professional Engineers Ontario U Lithos

### Site Investigation and Dye Test Report

General Information			
Date: April 12, 2024	Report No. : <b>R23-03-29-01</b>		
Project No. : PUD24-013	Address : 45 Grenoble Dr., TO, ON		
wner : Davad Investments Inc.	Region/Municipality: City Toronto		
Attendants			

	Name	Title	Contact Info.
Lithos Inspector	Alma Loshe	Project Inspector	647-901-3495
Lithos Inspector	Pradeep Oleti	Construction Inspector	905-609-3435

		Weather Condition	
Sunny	Cold	🗌 Light Rain	Windy
Partly Cloudy	Cool	🔲 Heavy Rain	Fogy
Overcast	🗌 Warm	Light Snow	
Temprature : <b>+9°C</b>	🗌 Hot	Heavy Snow	

Existing Facilities at Project/Site

28 storey residential (apartment) building

Purpose on Investigation

Indicate the invert elevations of the selected Sanitary network in the Grenoble Drive.

Site Location



### Site Investigation and Dye Test Report

	General Information
Date: April 12,2024	Report No. : <b>R23-03-29-01</b>
Project No. : PUD 24-013	Address : 45 Grenoble Dr., TO, ON
Øwner : Davad Investments Inc.	Region/Municipality: City Toronto
	Investigation Details
7 St Dennis Dr, 10 Grenoble Drive GATEW/ BLVD. 200 Gateway Boulevard	B Grenoble D B G B G B G B G B G B G B G B G
#15 600Ømm	#14 600Ømm #14 600Ømm #11 600Ømm #12
GATEWAY BLVD.	#13 600Ømm #17 525Ømm #18 525Ømm #19
#TRUNK SEWER	
	EXISTING DOWNSTREAM MANHOLE





## Section for Network - A55 \_EA\_SAN\_BaselineConditions

Powered by



